

ScienceDirect

be targeted to selective cell populations (using trans-

genic animals or viral vectors driven by cell-specific

promoters). The compounds to activate the receptors

can be administered systemically, largely minimizing the

invasiveness of the technique, and the desired level of

control of the neuronal modulation can be achieved by

dosing the compound and/or the level of expression of

the mutated receptors. Furthermore, as opposed to

permanent lesions or ablations, the chemogenetic ef-

fects can be reversible. These properties make chemo-

genetic tools particularly attractive for eventual

Chemogenetic tools based on G protein-coupled re-

ceptors (GPCRs) and ligand-gated ion channels

(LGICs) are the most commonly used in systems

neuroscience research [2,3]. Among these, the GPCR

chemogenetic receptors known as DREADDs (Designer

receptors exclusively activated by designer drugs) have

become the most popular [3,4]. The DREADDs

hM3Dq and hM4Di, based on human muscarinic

acetylcholine receptors, are coupled respectively to Gq and Gi proteins [3,4]. hM3Dq and hM4Di display minimal sensitivity to the endogenous neurotransmitter

acetylcholine but are, instead, activated by clozapine-N-

oxide (CNO), clozapine, or other exogenous compounds

as described below. Once activated, DREADDs will

facilitate or attenuate neuronal firing after activation of

Gq/s or Gi proteins, respectively. One experimental

consideration is that both hM3Dq and hM4Di are acti-

vated by the same ligand(s), and thus, it is not possible

to both increase and decrease the activity of the same

group of neurons. An alternative is the use of kappaopioid receptor-based DREADD (KORD), a Gi protein-coupled receptor, which is activated by the otherwise inert compound salvinorin B [5]. Since KORD is an inhibitory DREADD, it can be combined with the

excitatory hM3Dq receptor to achieve bidirectional

In contrast to GPCR-based chemogenetic methods,

which rely on the pharmacological control of intracellular

signaling pathways, chemogenetic LGICs allow direct

control of neuronal electrical activity. A novel example of this type of chemogenetic tools are the Pharmacologi-

cally Selective Actuator Modules (PSAMs). PSAMs are

chimeric LGICs comprising the α 7 nicotinic acetylcho-

line receptor ligand-binding domain, combined with the

control of neuronal activity.

application in the clinic.

Applications of chemogenetics in non-human primates

Check for updates

Jessica Raper¹ and Adriana Galvan^{1,2}

Abstract

Due to its low invasiveness and controllability, chemogenetic approaches offer a highly attractive option to modulate neuronal activity in basic research and future clinical applications. Chemogenetics have revolutionized neuroscience research by facilitating manipulations of selective brain circuits. To date, however, the large majority of these studies have been conducted in rodent models, while the wide application of chemogenetics in nonhuman primates (NHPs) is yet to occur. Still, important progress has been achieved in the use of chemogenetics in NHP studies in the last few years. Here we review the studies that have been published using chemogenetics in NHPs and discuss the current limitations of the technique to its more widespread use in NHPs and possible ways to overcome them.

Addresses

¹ Yerkes National Primate Research Center, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA

² Department of Neurology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA

Corresponding author: Galvan, Adriana (agalvan@emory.edu)

Current Opinion in Pharmacology 2022, 64:102204

This review comes from a themed issue on Chemogenetics and photopharmacology (2022)

Edited by Pierre Paoletti and Joshua Levitz

For complete overview about the section, refer Chemogenetics and photopharmacology (2022)

Available online 17 March 2022

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2022.102204

1471-4892/© 2022 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The chemogenetic techniques are a genetic-based approach that can achieve modulation of specific cell types based on the expression of artificial proteins designed to be inert to endogenous ligands but activated by systemic administration of compounds that exclusively target these receptors [1].

Chemogenetic control of neuronal activity presents several advantages over traditional pharmacological methods. The expression of the mutated proteins can

www.sciencedirect.com

Current Opinion in Pharmacology 2022, 64:102204

ion pore domain of either the excitatory cation-selective serotonin receptor 3 (5HT3) or the inhibitory chlorideselective glycine receptor. PSAMs can be activated by specifically designed PSAM agonists (ultrapotent Pharmacologically Selective Effector Molecules (uPSEMs, [6,7]), or by low doses of clinically approved drugs (e.g., the smoking cessation drug varenicline).

Besides GPCRs and LGICs, several chemogenetic proteins have been developed [2,3]. Relevant to this review is a technique based on the expression of tetanus neurotoxin (TeNT) [8]. When activated, TeNT cleaves the synaptic vesicle protein synaptobrevin, interfering with neurotransmitter release. TeNT is genetically encoded in a viral vector and expressed under the control of a tetracycline-responsive element. Thus, the TeNT is only transcribed in the presence of a tetracycline analog such as doxycycline (Dox), a compound that can be administered systemically. The TeNT-based chemogenetic system can only be used to silence but not activate neuronal activity.

Chemogenetic methods have been extensively used in research, from molecular to systems neurosciences, to manipulate the activity of neuronal and non-neuronal cells. Studies include manipulations in normal animals, as well as in animal models of neurological and neuropsychiatric diseases. Several recent reviews have described the applications of DREADDs and other chemogenetic platforms in neuroscience [2,3,9]. Suffice to say here that chemogenetics have revolutionized neuroscience research, facilitating manipulations of selective brain circuits related to learning and memory, movement, sleep, attention, appetite, anxiety, and pain. Although the large majority of publications reporting the use of chemogenetics have used rodent models, a growing number of studies have accomplished chemogenetic neuronal manipulation in nonhuman primates (NHPs).

Given the similarities of NHPs to humans, including development, social behavior, and brain structure [10,11], the application of chemogenetics in these animals is an essential step to translate chemogenetic approaches to treat human neurological and neuropsychiatric conditions. Here we review the studies that have been published using chemogenetics in NHPs. We also discuss the current limitations of the technique to its more widespread use in NHPs and possible ways to overcome them.

Advances in the use of chemogenetics in NHPs

Prior to genetic-based approaches, the manipulation of neuronal activity in NHPs has largely been limited to studies utilizing permanent lesions, transient pharmacological inactivation, or electrical stimulation. While these techniques have provided profound insight into behavioral neuroscience, they also have limitations. For example, neural plasticity after permanent lesions can lead to reorganization and compensation from other brain structures, and intracerebral drug infusions require surgical placement of skull-mounted chambers, which are not suitable for developmental neuroscience studies [12]. Therefore, chemogenetic tools provide an opportunity to manipulate neuronal activity while avoiding the pitfalls of permanent lesions or chambers. Yet, for nearly two decades, chemogenetics have been widely used in rodent preclinical models of neuropsychiatric disorders, neurodegenerative diseases, and addiction [3,13], whereas the application of chemogenetics in NHP models has only just emerged over the last few years. While genetically modified strains of rodents (e.g., Cre recombinase expressing) provide a unique opportunity for probing neural pathway-specific questions, transgenic NHP models are not available. To date, most NHP chemogenetic studies have used inhibitory DREADDs (hM4Di) or TeNT to investigate reward value, learning and memory, socioemotional behavior, and sensory-motor functions. The primary reason for the focus on inhibitory chemogenetic tools originates from the vast wealth of knowledge of how permanent lesions or chemical inactivation of brain areas impact behavior. The comparison between lesion and chemogenetic studies in NHPs provides an essential step toward translating these tools for clinical use in humans. Table 1 provides a summary of published studies using chemogenetic techniques in NHPs.

Motor systems

The earliest report of the use of chemogenetics in primates was in a study questioning if propriospinal neurons (PNs) contributed to dexterous hand movement in primates [8]. The study used a sophisticated approach to selectively and reversibly silence the activity of PNs. A retrograde vector (HiRet) encoding TeNT was injected in spinal cord segments C6 to T1 in which the terminals of PNs are located, while a second vector, an AAV carrying a tetracycline transactivator, was injected in more rostral segments where the PN somata are located. Thus, only these PNs expressed both the TeNT and the transactivator. After Dox administration, TeNT disrupted neurotransmission between PNs and their postsynaptic motoneurons, while other inputs to these neurons remain functional. Such disruption caused a marked impairment of reach and grasp movements in the monkeys, which was fully reversed once the Dox treatment stopped. The study demonstrates the use of a combination of viral vectors to functionally dissect a specific pathway and constitutes the first demonstration of a genetic-based approach to modulate neuronal activity that results in disruption of behavior in NHPs. It also exemplifies how these methods can be used to study questions specific to primate species, such

Descargado para Lucia Angulo (lu.maru26@gmail.com) en National Library of Health and Social Security de ClinicalKey.es por Elsevier en junio 17, 2022. Para uso personal exclusivamente. No se permiten otros usos sin autorización. Copyright ©2022. Elsevier Inc. Todos los derechos reservados.

as the connectivity and functions of the corticomotoneuronal system, which is unique to dexterous primates. In a follow-up study, using similar methods, TeNT-mediated silencing of PNs was used to demonstrate that PNs contribute to the recovery of dexterous hand movement after lesions of the corticospinal tract [14].

More recent studies have used DREADD-based approaches to study extrapyramidal motor systems in primates. Both studies involved modulation of the substantia nigra (SN). The SN pars compacta (SNc) contains dopaminergic neurons that project to the striatum, while the GABergic pars reticulata (SNr) is one of the output nuclei of the basal ganglia projecting to the superior colliculus, motor thalamus, and other targets. One study in macaques used inhibitory DREADDs to reversibly silence the SNr, producing rotations contralateral to the treated side [15], similar to results obtained in earlier studies with pharmacological inhibition of the nucleus. In a more recent study, the expression of excitatory hM3Dq DREADDs was targeted to the SNc neurons, using a tyrosine hydroxylase (catecholaminergic neuron selective) promoter to drive the expression of the DREADDs [16]. In agreement with previous studies using electrical or pharmacological stimulation of the nigrostriatal pathway, activation of SNc neurons resulted in contralateral rotations [16].

Other basal ganglia structures, the primate internal and external segment of the globus pallidus (GPe and GPi respectively, both part of the basal ganglia), are characterized by spontaneous high-frequency neuronal firing, making these structures particularly attractive to conduct electrophysiological experiments, which are essential to verify the effects of chemogenetic manipulation on cell firing. Local CNO applications resulted, predominantly, in decreased neuronal activity of hM4Di-expressing GPe in anesthetized monkeys [17]. An LGIC-based chemogenetic method was used to manipulate the activity of GPi neurons [6]. In this study, extracellular recordings were conducted in awake monkeys to verify that systemic administration of varenicline decreased the firing rate of GPi neurons expressing the inhibitory PSAM-GlyR. Although neither of these studies included assessment of motor behavior, they provided electrophysiological evidence of the effectiveness of chemogenetic agents to modulate neuronal firing in primates.

Sensory systems

After lesions to the primary visual cortex (V1), humans and NHPs may exhibit residual vision ("blindsight"). To study the mechanisms of blindsight, which have been controversial for many years, Kinoshita et al. (2019) silenced the synaptic transmission from the superior colliculus (SC) to the ventrolateral pulvinar (vlPul), using the dual-vector and Dox-inducible TeNT methodology [8]. Dox-induced blockade of the SC-vlPul pathway diminished residual vision in macaques with V1 lesions [18], demonstrating the contribution of this pathway to blindsight. The results of this study suggested that previous discrepant results may be a consequence of nonselective and nonreversible degeneration methods.

Chemogenetic methods have also been applied to investigate somatosensory networks. Using a functional MRI-guided approach, Hirabayashi and colleagues [19] injected inhibitory DREADDs (unilaterally) into the hand regions of the somatosensory cortex in macaques. On a grasping task, somatosensory inhibition via DREADD-activation impacted manual fine motor dexterity for the contralateral hand, sparing dexterity in the ipsilateral hand. Interestingly, despite the lack of DREADD expression in the downstream somatosensory foot sole region, there was a significant and consistent increase in fMRI BOLD signal and hypersensitivity of the foot with DREADD-activation. These results suggest a bidirectional effect of DREADD silencing on the operations of the somatosensory network.

Reward and learning

The brain reward pathway involves areas that are also involved in learning and memory. Two studies have investigated reward circuity using inhibitory DREADDs and a reward-discrimination task. The first one (which was also the first publication using DREADDs in NHPs) focused on disconnection of the reward pathway using unilateral lesions of the rhinal cortex and DREADD inhibition of the contralateral orbital frontal cortex (OFC) in two macaques [20]. The results revealed that the interaction between the rhinal and orbital frontal cortices was critical for making judgments of reward size [20]. The second study used inhibitory DREADDs bilaterally in the rostromedial caudate (rmCD), which receives strong projections from the OFC [21]. Bilateral silencing of the rmCD in macaques impaired reward estimation [21].

The roles of the nucleus accumbens (NAcc) in rewardbased learning have also been examined with chemogenetic tools. The ventral tegmental area (VTA) sends mostly dopaminergic input to the NAcc. This connection was examined using a combination of pathwayspecific targeting and TeNT-based inactivation [22]. During VTA-NAcc pathway silencing (induced by Dox administration), there was increased functional network connectivity involving cortical regions, as assessed by MRI. Surprisingly, however, the chemogenetic silencing of this pathway did not affect reinforcement-based

Table 1 Summary of studies in nonhuman primates utilizing chemogenetic modulation of brain circuitry and behavior.					
Kinoshita et al., 2012	Japanese and Rhesus macaque (5, age not reported)	(1) HiRet-TRE-EGFP.eTeNT(2) AAV2-CMV-rtTAV16	 Spinal cord segments C6- T1 Spinal cord segments 	Dox (5–15 mg/kg, p.o.)	
Aguilar et al., 2015 ^ª	Rhesus macaque (1, male, 1 female, age not reported)	AAV5-hSyn-HA.hM4Di-IRES-mCitrine	Substania nigra (unilateral)	CNO (2-10 mg/kg, i.m.) C21 (5 mg/kg, i.m.) Perlapine (5 mg/kg, i.m.)	
Eldridge et al., 2016	Rhesus macaque (2 female & male, 9–14 years old)	Lenti-hSyn-hM4Di.CFP	Orbital frontal cortex areas 11 & 13 (unilateral with contralateral lesion to rhinal cortex)	CNO (10 mg/kg, i.m.)	
Grayson et al., 2016	Rhesus macaque (4, male, ~5.25 years old)	AAV5-hSyn-hM4D-mCherry	Amygdala (bilateral)	CNO (10 mg/kg, i.v.)	
Nagai et al., 2016	Rhesus macaque (4 males, 5-14 vears old)	AAV2-CMV-hM4Di or AAV2-CMV-HA- hM4Di	Rostromedial caudate (bilateral)	CNO (3 mg/kg, i.v.)	
Tohyama et al., 2017	Macaques (6, not reported)	(1) HiRet/FuG-E/NeuRet-TRE- EGFP.eTeNT(2) AAV2/DJ-CMV-rtTAV16	 (1) Spinal cord segments C6- T1 (2) Spinal cord segments C2=C4 	Dox (15 mg/kg, p.o.)	
Upright et al., 2018	Rhesus macaque (5 males, 4-6 years old)	AAV5-hSyn-hM4Di. mCherry	Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex	CNO (20 mg/kg, i.m.)	
Magnus et al., 2019	Rhesus macaque (1, 5 years	AAV8-hSyn-PSAM₄GlyR- IBES–EGEP	Internal Globus Pallidus	Varenicline (0.1 mg/kg, s.c.)	
Raper et al., 2019	Rhesus macaque (2, female and male 9 months old)	AAV5-hSyn-HA.hM4Di-IRES-mCitrine	Basolateral amygdala (bilateral)	CNO (10 mg/kg, s.c.) Clozapine (0.1 mg/kg, s.c.)	
Kinoshita et al., 2019	Rhesus and Japanese macaques (1 each, males)	(1) HiRetTRE-eGFP.eTeNT(2) AAV1-CMV-rtTAV	(1) Ventrolateral pulvinar(2) Superior colliculus	Dox (15–25 mg/kg, p.o.)	
Hayashi et al., 2020	Japanese macaque (5, males and females 4–10 years old)	HiRet-hM4Di-WPRE	Medial prefrontal cortex (bilateral)	CNO (3 mg/kg, i.v.)	
Nagai et al., 2020	Rhesus and Japanese macaque (2, female & male, 5–7 years old)	AAV1-hSyn-hM4Di-IRES-AcGFP	(bilateral) (bilateral)	DCZ (100 µg/kg, i.m.)	
Ninomiya et al., 2020	Rhesus macaques (2, males, 6 years old)	(1) AAV-DJ-TRE-EGFP-eTeNT(2) AAV2-retro-CMV-rTAV16	(1) Medial prefrontal cortex(2) Premotor cortex	Dox (25–30 mg/kg, p.o.)	
Vancraeyenest et al., 2020	Rhesus macaque (2 female, 6 males, 4–7 years old)	 HiRet -TRE-EGFP.eTeNT-EGFP/ mCherry or NeuRet-TRE- EGFP.eTeNT-EGFP/mCherry AAV2-CMV-rtTAV16 	(1) Nucleus accumbens(2) Ventral tegmental area	Dox (15 mg/kg, p.o.)	
Allen et al., 2021	Rhesus macaque (7, male, 5–6 vears old)	AAV1-hSyn-hM4Di.mCherry	Nucleus accumbens (bilateral)	CNO hydrochloride salt (1.7-5.6 mg/ kg. i.m.)	
Hirabayashi et al., 2021	Japanese macaque (3, female and male, 5-10 years old)	AAV1-hSyn-hM4Di-IRES2-AcGFP or AAV2-CMV-hM4Di	Hand region of somatosensory cortex (unilateral)	DCZ (0.1 mg/kg, i.v.)	
Mimura et al., 2021	Marmoset (3, female & male, 2,5-4 years old)	AAV1-hSyn-hM3Dq-IRES-AcGFP or AAV1-THFLAG-hM3Dq	Substania nigra (unilateral)	DCZ (100 µg/kg, p.o. or 3 µg/kg, i.p.)	
Oguchi et al., 2021		(1) FuGE-Cre-2A-GFP	(1) Caudate	CNO (5 mg/kg, i.v.)	

Current Opinion in Pharmacology 2022, 64:102204

learning but, instead, decreased the motivation to perform a decision-making task [22].

More recently, the rewarding effects of alcohol have been examined using inhibitory DREADDs. In an ethanol drug discrimination task, macaques received inhibitory DREADDs bilaterally into the NAcc [23]. Decreased NAcc output was expected to create a leftward shift in the ethanol dose discrimination curve (indicating a reduced preference for ethanol); however, the behavioral shift was proportional to the expression of hM4Di in the NAcc, highlighting that individual variability in expression levels can be an important factor in NHP chemogenetic studies (see also [24]).

Cognitive functions

The dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dIPFC) is important for working memory and executive functioning, and dysfunction of the dlPFC has been implicated in cognitive deficits characteristic of disorders such as schizophrenia and dementia. Macaque monkeys were tested on a spatial delayed response task after bilateral transduction of inhibitory DREADDs in the dIPFC [24]. There was considerable variability in the behavioral results, and an extensive stereology study demonstrated a monotonic relationship between the extent of receptor transduction and behavioral effect. Interestingly, only 3% of dIPFC neurons were transduced with DREADD receptors in two macaques that exhibited the largest behavioral deficit [24]. This study underscores that proper interpretation of behavioral results depends on the thorough histological characterization of receptor expression, as further discussed below.

The group of Minamimoto has used DREADDs in several studies of cognitive functions in NHPs. They demonstrated that DREADD inhibition of the dlPFC could reliably and transiently impair spatial working memory for up to 2 hours, with recovered memory function 24 hours after DREADD activation [25]. In other study, DREADD inhibition was used to probe the prefronto-subcortical pathways important for spatial working memory or decision making [26]. Bilateral inhibition of the dIPFC by systemic administration of DREADD ligands or microinfusions directly targeting DREADD expressing axon terminals in the mediodorsal (MD) thalamus resulted in impaired spatial working memory. In contrast, inhibition of the dlPFC-caudate resulted in impaired decision-making [26]. Last, using an ocular delayed response task, Oguchi and colleagues [27] selectively suppressed lateral PFC neurons projecting to the CD, demonstrating that suppression of this pathway resulted in the dysfunction of inhibitory control of impulsive behavior [27]. This study is one of the few in which pathway-selective chemogenetic modulation has been used in monkeys (see Table 1), although the technique is widely used in rodent models.

www.sciencedirect.com

A recent study demonstrates the use of chemogenetic manipulation to explore cognitive abilities unique to primates. Theory of mind, the ability to infer the mental states of others, has been attributed to the brain network that includes mPFC, superior temporal sulcus, and temporoparietal junction. Yet, whether neural activation in these areas is causally linked to theory of mind abilities is unknown. To probe the role of the mPFC in theory of mind, Hayashi et al. [28] trained monkeys in an anticipatory-looking 'false belief' paradigm. DREADD-inhibition of the mPFC abolished the implicit gaze bias toward 'false belief' targets, suggesting its key role in one's ability to infer the mental states of others.

The studies cited demonstrate that chemogenetics can be used to probe cognitive systems and related brain pathways and to broaden our understanding of the contributions of specific brain structures or neural pathways to cognitive functions that are unique to primate species.

Socioemotional behavior

Primates are social creatures, and in large part, their behavior is regulated around social exchanges. To demonstrate the role of cortico-cortical pathways in monitoring social actions, Ninomiya et al. [29] used a double viral vector approach and the TeNT-based chemogenetic method to selectively block the synaptic transmission from the premotor to the mPFC. After Dox administration to block neurotransmission in this pathway, monkeys showed deficits in processing observed actions executed by social partners [29].

Among subcortical structures, the amygdala plays an important role in social behavior and emotional responses. To date, three studies have utilized inhibitory DREADDs in relation to amygdala functions and connectivity [12,30,31]. Activation of inhibitory DREADDs with CNO not only decreased amygdala functional connectivity (as expected), but it also led to large differential changes in neural network dynamics as compared to saline administration [30]. Neural network analyses found that resting-state functional connectivity of the limbic and default mode networks wasfragmented by DREADD-inhibition of the amygdala. The study by Grayson et al. [30] demonstrates that chemogenetics can provide a powerful tool to examine functional circuits in vivo. Two studies utilized an acute social stress task (human intruder paradigm) to examine changes in emotional reactivity after DREADD-induced inhibition of the basolateral [12] or central amygdala [31] in infant and adolescent macaques, respectively. Despite targeting different areas of the amygdala for DREADDmediated inhibition, both studies found decreased freezing (with no impact on vocalization or hostile behavior expressions [12]) during the task, indicating reduced anxiety-related behaviors. In the study conducted in infant monkeys, during a social attention eyetracking task, the animals spent more time looking toward the mouth of conspecifics, as well as increased time looking at neutral and aversive objects when the basolateral amygdala was chemogenetically inhibited [12]. These results provide additional evidence that amygdala silencing shifts attention to socially salient cues. Results from these studies are largely consistent with previous findings from chemical inactivation or permanent lesions of the amygdala, which supports the use of chemogenetic manipulation of socio-emotional systems in NHPs.

To date, the majority of NHP chemogenetic studies appear to have been focused on comparing inhibitory or excitatory DREADDs to permanent lesions or transient pharmacological neuromodulation (e.g., muscimol or bicuculline) to demonstrate the effectiveness of the tools in NHPs. In some cases, studies have demonstrated that transient DREADD inhibition produced fewer behavioral differences compared to permanent lesions [12]. Considering that the above studies have established the proof of principle that chemogenetic tools work in NHP neurons, new studies are beginning to test the potential for chemogenetic neuromodulation to be used as a neurotherapeutic method for treating anxiety disorder [31] or for circuit-specific treatment of cognitive impairments seen in aging and disease [32]. Establishing reliable and reproducible effects of chemogenetic tools in NHPs is an essential step toward translating these tools for clinical use in humans.

Challenges and possible solutions

The studies described above and in Table 1 demonstrate not only that the application of chemogenetic techniques is feasible in NHPs, but also that it can be used to gather new knowledge about primate brain pathways and functions. However, several challenges remain to further expand the use of chemogenetics in NHP basic and translational research, which we have grouped as follows: (1) difficulty to target-specific cell subtypes, (2) inconsistent level and pattern of transgene expression after viral injections, (3) immune responses to viral vectors or transgenes and (4) lack of efficacy or specificity of actuators. Except for the last point, these issues are common to other genetic-based approaches that depend on the use of viral vectors. Although these obstacles are not unique to studies in NHPs, for various reasons that we describe below, they are more difficult to address in these species than in rodent (or other) experimental models.

Targeting specific cell populations

The main advantage of genetic-based approaches is the possibility of targeting specific cell types. However, in NHP studies, a major challenge is the scarcity of tools to

selectively direct the expression of the chemogenetic receptor to neuronal subpopulations. Most studies using chemogenetic tools in mice take advantage of the numerous easily accessible genetic lines. Since transgenic NHPs are not yet widely available, genetic-based approaches in these species depend on the use of viral vectors. The selectivity of a viral vector for a cell type derives from its tropism (i.e., the preference of the viral vector to transduce one cell type over others) [33-36] and the regulatory sequences (promoter or enhancer) that drive the expression of the transgene to genetically-defined cell types.

The known cell tropisms of most commonly used viral vectors and serotypes seem to be consistent across species [37]. However, few studies have compared, side by side, transduction achieved with the same viral vectors in the CNS of NHPs and other species. These studies have, indeed, found that cell-type-specific transduction may vary across species depending on the administration route [38], brain pathway targeted [39], or the combination of the AAV capsid and promoter [40].

There is a small (but growing) number of promoter or enhancer sequences that have been demonstrated to target selective cell types in NHPs after viral vector administrations (Table 2). The promoters and enhancers listed in Table 2 were not originally developed based on primate genetic sequences. Still, they have been shown to be effective in targeting specific cell types in NHPs. Efforts are underway to characterize transcriptional patterns and gene expression in the brain of primates (including humans), which will help to design primate-specific regulatory sequences [41,42].

The most commonly used viral vectors in NHP chemogenetic experiments, lentivirus, and AAVs, have a relatively small vector capacity [37], limiting the length of the regulatory sequences that can be efficiently incorporated in the plasmid. Short regulatory elements [43] and mini-promoters [41], developed based on recent advances in transcriptomic and epigenetic analysis at the single-cell level, promise an expanded variety of neuronal and non-neuronal cell types. In addition, a dual viral vector approach could circumvent the need for a short promoter by making the expression of the transgene of interest Cre-dependent [44].

An alternative (or complementary) method to selectively express a transgene in a cell subtype is by leveraging the anatomical connections of the neurons of interest [45]. The pathway-selective expression can be achieved, for example, by using viral vectors with retrograde properties (injecting the viral vector at the terminal fields of the neurons of interest). As mentioned above, the HiRet and NeuRet retrograde vectors have been used several times to target-specific brain pathways and achieve chemogenetic modulation in NHPs [8,14,18,22,27,29].

Pseudotyped rabies virus permits the delivery of chemogenetic receptors to manipulate neurons presynaptically connected to targeted cells (reviewed in Ref. [37]. Although this is a powerful technique that can be used to manipulate neurons that synapse onto targeted cell types, the high toxicity of these vectors limits the survival time after viral injection, thus making them unsuitable for long-term NHP studies. Nontoxic versions of the rabies viral vectors are available (e.g, Ref. [46]), but their use in NHPs has not yet been demonstrated.

Inconsistent level and pattern of transgene expression

An important challenge faced by users of chemogenetic techniques is an inconsistent expression of the chemogenetic receptors after intracerebral injections of viral vectors. Due to limited diffusion of the virus solution in the tissue, the injections can result in receptor expression restricted to a small volume of brain tissue, which may be large enough for rodent models but too small for practical use in primates. Injections of the virus solutions in CSF compartments could help achieve a more widespread distribution of the virus throughout the whole brain (e.g., Ref. [47]). One step further would be systemic delivery of the virus solution using vectors that can penetrate the blood-brain barrier (BBB) [48]. While such vectors are rapidly developing and are already available for studies in rodents, further optimization may be needed before application in primate species.

Besides the tissue volume reached by the virus, several other intersecting factors affect the virus transduction, including the type of virus used, the volume of virus solution, the injection method and interactions between the viral capsid and the promoter [34,35,40]. There are examples in the literature showing inconsistency among transduction efficiency, tropism, and direction of axonal transport among brain regions, both in rodents and NHP studies (e.g., Refs. [39,49]).

In addition, mistargeting of virus injections can be a severe obstacle and may account for a high number of failures in NHP studies. Although these issues could be resolved by using larger numbers of animals, selecting only the subjects with a strong and on-target expression of the receptors, this is not possible, for practical and ethical reasons, in NHP studies. Thus, methods should be employed to refine the placement of viral vector solutions in the brain. For example, the injection target can be significantly improved using real-time guidance during the virus injection, with electrophysiological recordings [6] or MRI [50].

www.sciencedirect.com

Descargado para Lucia Angulo (lu.maru26@gmail.com) en National Library of Health and Social Security de ClinicalKey.es por Elsevier en junio 17, 2022. Para uso personal exclusivamente. No se permiten otros usos sin autorización. Copyright ©2022. Elsevier Inc. Todos los derechos reservados.

Table 2

Regulatory genetic sequences used in nonhuman primate (NHP) studies to drive transgene expression using viral vectors (only studies in which the use of the sequence was verified in NHPs are included).

Regulatory sequence	Cell-type specificity	Transgene expressed	References
Human Synapsin1 (SYN)	Neurons	Opsins, chemogenetic receptors, fluorescent proteins	Numerous, see e.g., Table 1
CaMKIIα	Mainly targets excitatory projection neurons, but also some interneurons	Opsins, fluorescent proteins	[81–83]
Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)	Catecholaminergic neurons	Opsins, chemogenetic receptors, fluorescent proteins	[16,35,44]
DIx5/6	Telencephalic GABAergic neurons	GFP Opsins	[84,85]
Parvalbumin (PV)	PV-expressing GABAergic neurons	Opsins	[85]
E2	PV-expressing GABAergic neurons	Opsins	[43]
GFAP	Astrocytes	GFP	[86]
L7	Purkinje cells	Opsins	[87]
ChAT	Cholinergic interneurons	GFP	[88]

Similarly, detection of receptor expression *in vivo* is critical to make corrections to the experimental approach during the study. Imaging approaches provide an excellent opportunity to noninvasively verify transgene expression [51], and chemogenetic studies in NHPs have started to take advantage of these tools. Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging has been used to monitor in a noninvasive manner the extent and temporal course of the artificial receptor expression using radioactive versions of the chemogenetic ligands ([¹¹C]-clozapine, [¹¹C]- deschloroclozapine, and [18F] JHU37107 for DREADDs [21,25,52] or [¹⁸F]-ASEM for PSAMs [6].

While the continued use of imaging methods should be a consistent component of chemogenetic (and other genetic-based approaches) to increase the reliability and reproducibility of NHP studies, postmortem histological examination remains the definitive method to confirm the level and pattern of expression of chemogenetic receptors. The level of expression, which can be measured histologically as the proportion of transgene-positive neurons, directly correlates with behavioral effects. As discussed above, in chemogenetic experiments, this proportion could be relatively small (around 3% of neurons [24]). However, this may vary depending on the brain circuit explored and the chemogenetic receptor used.

The localization pattern of the receptors is equally important. To be activated by the systemically injected ligand, the receptors should be localized at the plasma membrane. We have found that the tag protein can interfere with the trafficking of DREADDs to the membrane. In our study, the fusion of the inhibitory DREADD hM4Di to the fluorescent protein mCherry resulted in sparse expression at the membrane in monkey neurons (Fig. 1). In contrast, in mouse neurons, most of the mCherry labeling was observed at the plasma membrane [53]. These discrepant expression patterns indicate a species difference in the trafficking and membrane insertion of exogenous proteins, although the mechanisms behind these differences remain to be determined. We found, however, that when we traded the mCherry for the hemagglutinin (HA) tag resulted in strong neuronal plasma membrane expression (Fig. 1). These results emphasize that the choice of tag protein is relevant to successful chemogenetic receptor expression. While the use of fluorescent proteins may not always hinder the receptor expression, the use of smaller tags (such as HA) or the use of IRES/P2A systems could help improve the chemogenetic expression in NHP studies (the use of smaller tags could also benefit rodent studies, as recently demonstrated [54]).

Immune responses to viral vectors or transgenes

Innate immune responses to the viral vectors is a wellknown challenge in the gene therapy field [55]. Although this issue has been reported in murine species (e.g., Ref. [56]), it has not been known to be a major roadblock in rodent studies. However, it poses a significant problem to the use of chemogenetics in NHPs. Neutralizing antibodies (nAbs) against AAVs, which can prevent the viral vectors from reaching the target cells, are prevalent in many primate species [55]. Although circulating antibodies are usually not a concern in the CNS, most chemogenetic experiments in NHPs involve the use of craniotomies and/or intracerebral injections, which compromise the BBB and could lead to a possible infiltration of nAbs to the brain parenchyma. The strategy adopted by many NHP researchers is to screen animals for pre-existing nAbs, including in the studies only animals with negligible titers of nAbs against the serotype of interest. But even in seronegative subjects, an initial experimental administration of AAVs can result in a strong production of nAbs [57], limiting the possibilities of conducting sequential AAV administrations. Neuroscience researchers using NHP

models can benefit from advances in gene therapy to evade the immune responses, including engineering approaches to mutate the AAV capsid [55] and the use of IgG degrading enzymes to reduce circulating nAbs [58].

Lack of efficacy or specificity of actuators

Central to the effectiveness of chemogenetic receptors is understanding the pharmacokinetics and BBB permeability of systemically administered ligands. Although CNO has been the most widely used ligand for DREADD activation, studies have demonstrated several problems with using CNO. First, CNO has poor brain penetrance because it is a substrate for P-glycoprotein (P-gp) efflux pump, which limits its permeability to cross the BBB [59,60]. Second, CNO is subject to reductive metabolism to clozapine, an atypical antipsychotic drug that can bind to both DREADD receptors and endogenous receptors (e.g., serotonin and dopamine) [59-61]. Clozapine has a high affinity for the DREADDs, and so low-dose administration of clozapine effectively activates these receptors [4,12,31,59]. Still, even low-dose clozapine can have off-target side effects, as shown by decreased cortisol secretions in the recent study from Roseboom and colleagues [31]. Reductive metabolism of CNO into clozapine is not only an issue for NHP studies but has been demonstrated in rodents [62,63], and it is this conversion of CNO to clozapine that is responsible for DREADD occupancy [59]. CNO administration can impact locomotor and anxiety behavior of DREADD naïve rodents [39,59,62,64]. Given these complications with the use of CNO or lowdose clozapine to activate DREADDs, other ligands with better brain penetrance and no active metabolites are needed. Compound 21 (C21) was the first DREADD ligand developed to address the issue of CNO's reverse metabolism to clozapine [65]. C21,

Figure 1

however, was shown to have low brain penetrance in both rodents and NHPs [59]. Chronic administration of C21 in DREADD naïve rodents can impact exploration behavior [39]. The development of high-affinity DREADD ligands is essential to advance the translational potential of DREADD technology. Along this line, novel DREADD ligands have been developed, including JHU compounds (JHU37152 and 60) [52] and deschloroclozapine (DCZ) [25]. Both JHU compounds and DCZ have high BBB permeability and have been shown to be potent, selective, and fast-acting DREADD ligands [25,52]. Unlike clozapine, low-dose DCZ had no off-target effects in DREADD naïve monkeys performing a working memory task [66]. Last but not least, as discussed above, JHU and DCZ are available as radioligands for quantifying DREADD receptor expression in vivo using PET [25,52,67].

For LGIC-based chemogenetic approaches, activation of PSAMs can be achieved with low doses of the antismoking drug varenicline [6]. Alternatively, PSAMs can be activated by uPSEMs, selective and highly potent PSAMs agonists derived from varenicline [6]. To expand the use of uPSEMs in NHP basic research, however, the pharmacokinetic properties of uPSEMs should be thoroughly characterized in these species.

Dox has been used as a tetracycline analog in TeNTbased chemogenetic studies. In contrast to DREADD and PSAM-ligands, tetracycline derivatives (including Dox) were not specifically selected or designed to exclusively activate an artificial receptor. Dox, a commonly used antibiotic [68], is used as a tetracycline activator due to its BBB-crossing ability. However, longterm use of Dox could lead to gastrointestinal and/or toxic effects. Also, the slow release kinetics of Dox can make it unreliable to predict experimental responses



Ultrastructural localization of hM4Di in monkeys, using the pre-embedding immunogold method to reveal the tag proteins mCherry (in A) or hemagglutinin (HA, B). A and B show electron micrographs of dendrites (d) in the amygdala of two monkeys that received intra-amygdala injections of AAV5-hSyn-hM4Di-mCherry (A) or AAV5-hSyn-HA-hM4Di (B). The large majority of immunogold particles labeling hM4Di-mCherry are localized in the intracellular compartment (red arrowheads), while the gold particles labeling HA-hM4Di are bound to the plasma membrane (blue arrows). Scale bars: 0.6 µm (from Galvan et al., 2019).

www.sciencedirect.com

[68]. Finally, the use of Dox would void the use of other tetracycline-based approaches to modulate the expression of other transgenes (for example, calcium sensors) in the same animal.

Other considerations

The studies described above constitute, to the best of our knowledge, published reports of the use of chemogenetic methods in NHPs. The large majority of these reports have used DREADDs based on muscarinic acetylcholine receptors. However, the chemogenetic toolbox includes other receptor types and proteins [3], which have not yet been tested in NHPs but could expand the experimental landscape. For example, as mentioned above, KORDs could be used to multiplex chemogenetic control of neurons [5]. Salvinorin B, the effector for KORDs, is known to rapidly enter the brain after systemic administration in macaques [69]. However, its short half-life and its limited solubility [5] encumbers its use in NHP studies.

Some reports in rodents have indicated that the use of inhibitory PSAMs or DREADDs can lead to unexpected effects. In one case, activation of the GlyR conductance of PSAM-GlyR induced depolarization in in vitro recordings of striatal projection neurons [70], while another study showed that activation of hM4Di enhanced evoked potentials in dentate gyrus neurons [71]. It was also demonstrated that hM3Dq-mediated activation of interneurons in the hippocampus reduced the firing of pyramidal neurons, as predicted, but unexpectedly, the activity of many of the interneurons decreased as well, and there was short-term and longterm reorganization in the neuronal circuits involved [72]. These examples highlight the importance of conducting direct electrophysiologic verification that chemogenetic receptor activation leads to the intended inhibitory or excitatory effect in the neurons of interest, but such validation experiments are rarely conducted (even in rodent experimentation). Exceptionally, two reports in NHPs have provided evidence that activation of hM4Di and PSAM-GlyRs reduces neuronal firing in pallidal neurons [6,17].

The potential adverse effects of chronic expression of chemogenetic receptors in neurons or repeated exposure to chemogenetic ligands have yet to be thoroughly described. Although this consideration is not specific to NHPs, it may be of particular importance in NHP studies that can last months or years. In rodents, transduction of hM4Di with a high titer AAV (10e13 vg/ ml) resulted in marked loss of hippocampal neurons, accompanied by neuroinflammatory reactions [71]. It remains to be determined that such toxic effects of chemogenetic receptor expression occur in NHP neurons, but studies have shown that AAV-mediated expression of exogenous proteins can induce immune responses and neuroinflammation in NHPs [73]. Therefore, we strongly recommend a careful characterization of the expression of the chemogenetic receptors in neurons and the long-term effect on the neuron's health in NHP brains. Because of their larger brain size and longer axonal projections, primate neurons have greater metabolic demands than rodent neurons [74]; thus, overexpressed foreign proteins, such as viral-vector transduced chemogenetic receptors, may represent extra metabolic demands on neurons.

The effects of repeated administration of chemogenetic ligands deserve careful consideration. Few studies have used chronic (i.e., more than four weeks) administration of these ligands (but see studies using Dox, Table 1). Some reports indicate that systemic administration of CNO over the course of many weeks does not have offtarget effects on behaviors of naïve animals [63,75]. However, it has also been shown that the effects of short-term and long-term activation of DREADDs can differ. For instance, when expressed on serotonergic neurons, acute activation of DREADDs with CNO results in anxiogenic and antidepressant effects, but after long-term activation, the anxiogenic effects are blunted, while the antidepressant effects persist [76]. Long-term exposure to chemogenetic ligands could also affect non-CNS systems, such as the gut microbiota, as demonstrated in mice [77]. Due to the long-term duration of many NHP studies and given the potential of clinical applicability of chemogenetics (which would require chronic dosing), detailed studies of the effects of repeated administration of chemogenetic ligands in NHP are needed.

Closing remarks

Capitalizing on advances from the fields of gene therapy, imaging, and molecular biology, NHP researchers are making steady progress in expanding the genetic-based approaches in NHP research. The available evidence shows that chemogenetics can be successfully used to manipulate neuronal activity and behavior in NHP neuroscience research. Even more, studies using chemogenetic approaches have started to bring unprecedented knowledge about the functions of specific brain circuits. The expanding availability of novel promoters and enhancer sequences, combined with the use of dual virus approaches, will increase the ability to target-specific cell types.

As far as we can tell, there is no consensus in the field regarding which chemogenetic system is preferable in neuroscience research and, more to the point, in NHP studies. The selection of the chemogenetic tool to use would depend on the goals of the study and the previous data available on the transgene use and expression. Clearly, DREADDs have been used more extensively, and thus their advantages and limitations have been better

described (for the most part, in rodent studies). A shortcoming of DREADDs is the fact that these receptors work by activating endogenous G-proteins and second-messenger pathways, and the cell's activity could be modulated by multiple mechanisms, which may be poorly characterized for many cell types [2]. Thus, we emphasize the importance of validating the physiological effect of DREADD activation in each neuronal system. On the other hand, DREADDs have shown their immense utility to neuroscience research, and the abundant DREADD studies in rodents provide a wealth of information that has been used by NHP researchers as starting point. Furthermore, as mentioned earlier, by combining DREADDs with KORDs, one could achieve multiplexed chemogenetic modulation, although this approach remains to be demonstrated in NHPs.

In contrast to DREADDs, LGIC-based chemogenetic methods offer the advantage of direct modulation of the neuron's electrical activity. Although PSAMs were recently developed and optimized [6], their use has been expanding in rodent neuroscience studies (e.g., Refs. [43,78]).

Both the DREADD and PSAM chemogenetic platforms share aspects that are important in translational NHP research. Each of these receptor types can be activated by low doses of clinically approved drugs (clozapine and varenicline, respectively), which could help advance potential chemogenetic-based treatments for eventual use in human disease. However, the occurrence of side effects should be carefully characterized in naïve animals, even when using very low doses of these compounds. Furthermore, to allow visualization of expression receptors *in* vivo, radioligand tracers are available for both PSAMs and DREADDs.

The Dox-inducible TeNT method to silence neurotransmission has been used successfully in various studies in NHPs. Some disadvantages of this technology are the inability to increase neuronal activity and its slow and variable time course. The time needed for the emergence of the TeNTeffects after Dox administration may range from one to 10 days [18]. This variability may depend on the neuronal circuit targeted and may need to be defined on a case-by-case basis. Such a prolonged time course may not be acceptable in certain studies.

Given the translational importance of NHPs, along with the effort and expenses associated with NHP research, constant and open communication among researchers is key to maximizing the progress, avoiding the repetition of unfruitful experiments, and consolidating redundant pilot testing. For example, accessibility to open databases [79,80] and collaborative efforts will help overcome the challenges stated above.

Funding

The authors' research cited in this review was funded by the National Institutes of Health (NINDS 1R21NS106346 (AG)), and in part by the National Institutes of Mental Health (NIMH) Autism Center of Excellence Center Grant P50 MH100029 and the Office of Research Infrastructure Programs P51 OD011132 (base grant to the Yerkes Research Center).

Credit author statement

Jessica Raper and Adriana Galvan: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, writing, reviewing, editing.

Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

References

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- * of special interest
- ** of outstanding interest
- 1. Sternson SM, Roth BL: Chemogenetic tools to interrogate brain functions. Annu Rev Neurosci 2014, 37:387–407.
- Atasoy D, Sternson SM: Chemogenetic tools for causal cellular
 and neuronal biology. *Physiol Rev* 2018, 98:391–418.
 Comprehensive and updated review of chemogenetic methods.
- Roth Bryan L: DREADDs for neuroscientists. Neuron 2016, 89: 683–694.
- Armbruster BN, Li X, Pausch MH, Herlitze S, Roth BL: Evolving the lock to fit the key to create a family of G protein-coupled receptors potently activated by an inert ligand. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007, 104:5163–5168.
- Vardy E, Robinson JE, Li C, Olsen RH, DiBerto JF, Giguere PM, Sassano FM, Huang XP, Zhu H, Urban DJ, White KL, Rittiner JE, Crowley NA, Pleil KE, Mazzone CM, Mosier PD, Song J, Kash TL, Malanga CJ, Krashes MJ, Roth BL: A new DREADD facilitates the multiplexed chemogenetic interrogation of behavior. Neuron 2015, 86:936–946.
- Magnus CJ, Lee PH, Bonaventura J, Zemla R, Gomez JL, Ramirez MH, Hu X, Galvan A, Basu J, Michaelides M, Sternson SM: Ultrapotent chemogenetics for research and potential clinical applications. *Science* 2019, 364:148–153.
- Magnus CJ, Lee PH, Atasoy D, Su HH, Looger LL, Sternson SM: Chemical and genetic engineering of selective ion channelligand interactions. *Science* 2011, 333:1292–1296.
- Kinoshita M, Matsui R, Kato S, Hasegawa T, Kasahara H, Isa K,
 Watakabe A, Yamamori T, Nishimura Y, Alstermark B, Watanabe D, Kobayashi K, Isa T: Genetic dissection of the circuit for hand dexterity in primates. *Nature* 2012, 487: 235–238.

This study exemplifies how chemogenetic methods can be used to study questions specific to primate species. The study combines the use of dual viral vectors to genetically target a specific neuronal pathway, and provides the first demonstration of a genetic based approach that alters behavior in NHPs.

- Campbell EJ, Marchant NJ: The use of chemogenetics in behavioural neuroscience: receptor variants, targeting approaches and caveats. Br J Pharmacol 2018, 175:994–1003.
- Buffalo EA, Movshon JA, Wurtz RH: From basic brain research to treating human brain disorders. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2019, 116:26167–26172.

www.sciencedirect.com

Current Opinion in Pharmacology 2022, 64:102204

- Phillips KA, Bales KL, Capitanio JP, Conley A, Czoty PW, t Hart BA, Hopkins WD, Hu SL, Miller LA, Nader MA, Nathanielsz PW, Rogers J, Shively CA, Voytko ML: Why primate models matter. Am J Primatol 2014, 76:801–827.
- Raper J, Murphy L, Richardson R, Romm Z, Kovacs-Balint Z, Payne C, Galvan A: Chemogenetic inhibition of the amygdala modulates emotional behavior expression in infant rhesus monkeys. eNeuro 2019, 6. ENEURO.0360-0319.2019.
- Smith KS, Bucci DJ, Luikart BW, Mahler SV: DREADDS: use and application in behavioral neuroscience. *Behav Neurosci* 2016, 130:137–155.
- Tohyama T, Kinoshita M, Kobayashi K, Isa K, Watanabe D, Kobayashi K, Liu M, Isa T: Contribution of propriospinal neurons to recovery of hand dexterity after corticospinal tract lesions in monkeys. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017, 114:604–609.
- Aguilar BL, Elorette C, Huizenga MN, Forcelli PA, Malkova L: Chemogenetic control of motor behavior in the nonhuman primate: DREADD-mediated silencing of the substantia nigra pars reticulata. In Society for neuroscience; 2015:802–810. Chicago.
- Mimura K, Nagai Y, Inoue KI, Matsumoto J, Hori Y, Sato C, Kimura K, Okauchi T, Hirabayashi T, Nishijo H, Yahata N, Takada M, Suhara T, Higuchi M, Minamimoto T: Chemogenetic activation of nigrostriatal dopamine neurons in freely moving common marmosets. *iScience* 2021, 24:103066.
- Deffains M, Nguyen TH, Orignac H, Biendon N, Dovero S, Bezard E, Boraud T: In vivo electrophysiological validation of DREADD-based modulation of pallidal neurons in the nonhuman primate. *Eur J Neurosci* 2021, 53:2192–2204.
- Kinoshita M, Kato R, Isa K, Kobayashi K, Kobayashi K, Onoe H, Isa T: Dissecting the circuit for blindsight to reveal the critical role of pulvinar and superior colliculus. *Nat Commun* 2019, 10: 135.
- Hirabayashi T, Nagai Y, Hori Y, Inoue K-i, Aoki I, Takada M, Suhara T, Higuchi M, Minamimoto T: Chemogenetic sensory fMRI reveals behaviorally relevant bidirectional changes in primate somatosensory network. Neuron 2021, 109: 3312–3322. e3315.
- Eldridge MA, Lerchner W, Saunders RC, Kaneko H, Krausz KW, Gonzalez FJ, Ji B, Higuchi M, Minamimoto T, Richmond BJ: Chemogenetic disconnection of monkey orbitofrontal and rhinal cortex reversibly disrupts reward value. Nat Neurosci 2016, 19:37–39.

This is the first published study using DREADDs in non-human primates.

- Nagai Y, Kikuchi E, Lerchner W, Inoue KI, Ji B, Eldridge MA, Kaneko H, Kimura Y, Oh-Nishi A, Hori Y, Kato Y, Hirabayashi T, Fujimoto A, Kumata K, Zhang MR, Aoki I, Suhara T, Higuchi M, Takada M, Richmond BJ, Minamimoto T: PET imaging-guided chemogenetic silencing reveals a critical role of primate rostromedial caudate in reward evaluation. Nat Commun 2016, 7:13605.
- 22. Vancraeyenest P, Arsenault JT, Li X, Zhu Q, Kobayashi K, Isa K, Isa T, Vanduffel W: Selective mesoaccumbal pathway inactivation affects motivation but not reinforcement-based learning in macaques. *Neuron* 2020, 108:568–581 e566.
- Allen DC, Jimenez VA, Carlson TL, Walter NA, Grant KA, Cuzon Carlson VC: Characterization of DREADD receptor expression and function in rhesus macaques trained to discriminate ethanol. Neuropsychopharmacology 2022, 47:857–865.
- Upright NA, Brookshire SW, Schnebelen W, Damatac CG, Hof PR, Browning PGF, Croxson PL, Rudebeck PH, Baxter MG: Behavioral effect of chemogenetic inhibition is directly related to receptor transduction levels in rhesus monkeys. J Neurosci 2018, 38:7969–7975.
- Nagai Y, Miyakawa N, Takuwa H, Hori Y, Oyama K, Ji B, Takahashi M, Huang XP, Slocum ST, DiBerto JF, et al.: Deschloroclozapine, a potent and selective chemogenetic actuator enables rapid neuronal and behavioral modulations in mice and monkeys. Nat Neurosci 2020, 23:1157–1167.
 Effective demonstration of the use of a non-CNO ligand to activate

 Oyama K, Hori Y, Nagai Y, Miyakawa N, Mimura K,
 Hirabayashi T, Inoue KI, Suhara T, Takada M, Higuchi M, et al.: Chemogenetic dissection of the primate prefrontosubcortical pathways for working memory and decisionmaking. Sci Adv 2021, 7, eabg4246.

Combination of dual vector injections and DREADDs to dissociate roles of prefrontal pathways in macaques.

- 27. Oguchi M, Tanaka S, Pan X, Kikusui T, Moriya-Ito K, Kato S, Kobayashi K, Sakagami M: Chemogenetic inactivation reveals the inhibitory control function of the prefronto-striatal pathway in the macaque brain. Commun Biol 2021, 4:1088.
- Hayashi T, Akikawa R, Kawasaki K, Egawa J, Minamimoto T, Kobayashi K, Kato S, Hori Y, Nagai Y, Iijima A, Someya T, Hasegawa I: Macaques exhibit implicit gaze bias anticipating others' false-belief-driven actions via medial prefrontal cortex. *Cell Rep* 2020, 30:4433–4444 e4435.
- Ninomiya T, Noritake A, Kobayashi K, Isoda M: A causal role for frontal cortico-cortical coordination in social action monitoring. Nat Commun 2020, 11:5233.

This study uses doxycycline-mediated selective neurotransmitter silencing between premotor and medial prefrontal cortex to investigate the anatomical correlates of observed action processing in macaques that are socially paired.

- Grayson DS, Bliss-Moreau E, Machado CJ, Bennett J, Shen K, Grant KA, Fair DA, Amaral DG: The rhesus monkey connectome predicts disrupted functional networks resulting from pharmacogenetic inactivation of the amygdala. *Neuron* 2016, 91:453–466.
- Roseboom PH, Mueller SAL, Oler JA, Fox AS, Riedel MK, Elam VR, Olsen ME, Gomez JL, Boehm MA, DiFilippo AH, et al.: Evidence in primates supporting the use of chemogenetics for the treatment of human refractory neuropsychiatric disorders. *Mol Ther* 2021, 29:3484–3497.
- Upright NA, Elorette C, Fujimoto A, Croxson PL, Russ BE, Rudebeck PH, Baxter MG: Pathway-specific chemogenetic neuromodulation enhances working memory in rhesus monkeys. Online. In Society for neuroscience; 2021. P315.302. 2021.
- An H, Cho DW, Lee SE, Yang YS, Han SC, Lee CJ: Differential cellular tropism of lentivirus and adeno-associated virus in the brain of cynomolgus monkey. *Exp Neurobiol* 2016, 25:48–54.
- Gerits A, Vancraeyenest P, Vreysen S, Laramee ME, Michiels A, Gijsbers R, Van den Haute C, Moons L, Debyser Z, Baekelandt V, *et al.*: Serotype-dependent transduction efficiencies of recombinant adeno-associated viral vectors in monkey neocortex. *Neurophotonics* 2015, 2, 031209.
- Lerchner W, Corgiat B, Der Minassian V, Saunders RC, Richmond BJ: Injection parameters and virus dependent choice of promoters to improve neuron targeting in the nonhuman primate brain. *Gene Ther* 2014, 21:233–241.
- Markakis EA, Vives KP, Bober J, Leichtle S, Leranth C, Beecham J, Elsworth JD, Roth RH, Samulski RJ, Redmond Jr DE: Comparative transduction efficiency of AAV vector serotypes 1-6 in the substantia nigra and striatum of the primate brain. *Mol Ther* 2010, 18:588–593.
- 37. Xu X, Holmes TC, Luo MH, Beier KT, Horwitz GD, Zhao F, Zeng W, Hui M, Semler BL, Sandri-Goldin RM: Viral vectors for neural circuit mapping and recent advances in trans-synaptic anterograde tracers. Neuron 2020, 107:1029–1047.
- Liguore WA, Domire JS, Button D, Wang Y, Dufour BD, Srinivasan S, McBride JL: AAV-PHP.B administration results in a differential pattern of CNS biodistribution in non-human primates compared with mice. *Mol Ther* 2019, 27:2018–2037.
- Albaugh DL, Smith Y, Galvan A: Comparative analyses of transgene expression patterns after intra-striatal injections of rAAV2-retro in rats and rhesus monkeys: a light and electron microscopic study. Eur J Neurosci 2020, 52:4824–4839.
- Bohlen MO, McCown TJ, Powell SK, El-Nahal HG, Daw T, Basso MA, Sommer MA, Samulski RJ: Adeno-associated virus capsid-promoter interactions in the brain translate from rat to the nonhuman primate. *Hum Gene Ther* 2020, 31: 1155–1168.

Current Opinion in Pharmacology 2022, 64:102204

DREADDs in monkeys.

- Portales-Casamar E, Swanson DJ, Liu L, de Leeuw CN, Banks KG, Ho Sui SJ, Fulton DL, Ali J, Amirabbasi M, Arenillas DJ, et al.: A regulatory toolbox of MiniPromoters to drive selective expression in the brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010, 107:16589–16594.
- 42. He J, Kleyman M, Chen J, Alikaya A, Rothenhoefer KM, Ozturk BE, Wirthlin M, Bostan AC, Fish K, Byrne LC, Pfenning AR, Stauffer WR: Transcriptional and anatomical diversity of medium spiny neurons in the primate striatum. Curr Biol 2021, 31:5473–5486.
- Vormstein-Schneider D, Lin JD, Pelkey KA, Chittajallu R, Guo B, Arias-Garcia MA, Allaway K, Sakopoulos S, Schneider G, Stevenson O, et al.: Viral manipulation of functionally distinct interneurons in mice, non-human primates and humans. Nat Neurosci 2020, 23:1629–1636.
- Stauffer WR, Lak A, Yang A, Borel M, Paulsen O, Boyden ES, Schultz W: Dopamine neuron-specific optogenetic stimulation in rhesus macaques. *Cell* 2016, 166:1564–1571 e1566.
- 45. Sjulson L, Cassataro D, DasGupta S, Miesenbock G: Cell-spe- cific targeting of genetically encoded tools for neuroscience. Annu Rev Genet 2016, 50:571–594.

This review provides an extensive coverage of genetic methods to achieve cell specificity across species.

- Chatterjee S, Sullivan HA, MacLennan BJ, Xu R, Hou Y, Lavin TK, Lea NE, Michalski JE, Babcock KR, Dietrich S, et al.: Nontoxic, double-deletion-mutant rabies viral vectors for retrograde targeting of projection neurons. Nat Neurosci 2018, 21:638–646.
- 47. Galvan A, Petkau TL, Hill AM, Korecki AJ, Lu G, Choi D, Rahman K, Simpson EM, Leavitt BR, Smith Y: Intracerebroventricular administration of AAV9-PHP.B SYN1-EmGFP induces widespread transgene expression in the mouse and monkey central nervous system. Hum Gene Ther 2021, 32:599-615.
- Chan KY, Jang MJ, Yoo BB, Greenbaum A, Ravi N, Wu WL, Sanchez-Guardado L, Lois C, Mazmanian SK, Deverman BE, et al.: Engineered AAVs for efficient noninvasive gene delivery to the central and peripheral nervous systems. Nat Neurosci 2017, 20:1172–1179.
- Aschauer DF, Kreuz S, Rumpel S: Analysis of transduction efficiency, tropism and axonal transport of AAV serotypes 1, 2, 5, 6, 8 and 9 in the mouse brain. *PLoS One* 2013, 8, e76310.
- Yazdan-Shahmorad A, Tian N, Kharazia V, Samaranch L, Kells A, Bringas J, He J, Bankiewicz K, Sabes PN: Widespread optogenetic expression in macaque cortex obtained with MRguided, convection enhanced delivery (CED) of AAV vector to the thalamus. J Neurosci Methods 2018, 293:347–358.
- Boehm MA, Bonaventura J, Gomez JL, Solis O, Stein EA, Bradberry CW, Michaelides M: Translational PET applications for brain circuit mapping with transgenic neuromodulation tools. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2021, 204:173147.
- Bonaventura J, Eldridge MAG, Hu F, Gomez JL, Sanchez-Soto M, Abramyan AM, Lam S, Boehm MA, Ruiz C, Farrell MR, *et al.*: High-potency ligands for DREADD imaging and activation in rodents and monkeys. *Nat Commun* 2019, 10:4627.
- Galvan A, Raper J, Hu X, Pare JF, Bonaventura J, Richie CT, Michaelides M, Mueller SAL, Roseboom PH, Oler JA, et al.: Ultrastructural localization of DREADDs in monkeys. Eur J Neurosci 2019, 50:2801–2813.
- Desloovere J, Boon P, Larsen LE, Goossens MG, Delbeke J, Carrette E, Wadman W, Vonck K, Raedt R: Chemogenetic seizure control with clozapine and the novel ligand JHU37160 outperforms the effects of levetiracetam in the intrahippocampal kainic acid mouse model. *Neurotherapeutics* 2021, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13311-021-01160-0.
- 55. Li C, Samulski RJ: Engineering adeno-associated virus vec-

** tors for gene therapy. *Nat Rev Genet* 2020, **21**:255–272. This review provides a comprehensive account of current methods to optimize viral vectors. Although the focus is on gene therapy, the concepts could be used by basic and translational neuroscientists using genetic-based approaches.

- Janelidze S, Nordstrom U, Kugler S, Brundin P: Pre-existing immunity to adeno-associated virus (AAV)2 limits transgene expression following intracerebral AAV2-based gene delivery in a 6-hydroxydopamine model of Parkinson's disease. J Gene Med 2014, 16:300–308.
- Mendoza SD, El-Shamayleh Y, Horwitz GD: AAV-mediated delivery of optogenetic constructs to the macaque brain triggers humoral immune responses. J Neurophysiol 2017, 117: 2004–2013.
- Elmore ZC, Oh DK, Simon KE, Fanous MM, Asokan A: Rescuing AAV gene transfer from neutralizing antibodies with an IgGdegrading enzyme. JCI Insight 2020, 5, e139881.
- 59. Gomez JL, Bonaventura J, Lesniak W, Mathews WB, Sysa * Shah P, Rodriguez LA, Ellis RJ, Richie CT, Harvey BK, Dannals RF, et al.: Chemogenetics revealed: DREADD occupancy and activation via converted clozapine. Science 2017, 357:503–507.

Through several lines of evidence this study demonstrated that the ligand clozapine-n-oxide converts to clozapine to activate DREADDS.

- Raper J, Morrison RD, Daniels JS, Howell L, Bachevalier J, Wichmann T, Galvan A: Metabolism and distribution of clozapine-N-oxide: implications for nonhuman primate chemogenetics. ACS Chem Neurosci 2017, 8:1570–1576.
- Allen DC, Carlson TL, Xiong Y, Jin J, Grant KA, Cuzon Carlson VC: A comparative study of the pharmacokinetics of clozapine N-oxide and clozapine N-oxide hydrochloride salt in rhesus macaques. J Pharmacol Exp Therapeut 2018, 368: 199–207.
- Manvich DF, Webster KA, Foster SL, Farrell MS, Ritchie JC, Porter JH, Weinshenker D: The DREADD agonist clozapine Noxide (CNO) is reverse-metabolized to clozapine and produces clozapine-like interoceptive stimulus effects in rats and mice. Sci Rep 2018, 8:3840.
- 63. Jendryka M, Palchaudhuri M, Ursu D, van der Veen B, Liss B, Katzel D, Nissen W, Pekcec A: Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic actions of clozapine-N-oxide, clozapine, and compound 21 in DREADD-based chemogenetics in mice. Sci Rep 2019, 9:4522.
- MacLaren DA, Browne RW, Shaw JK, Krishnan Radhakrishnan S, Khare P, Espana RA, Clark SD: Clozapine Noxide administration produces behavioral effects in longevans rats: implications for designing DREADD experiments. eNeuro 2016, 3.
- Chen X, Choo H, Huang XP, Yang X, Stone O, Roth BL, Jin J: The first structure-activity relationship studies for designer receptors exclusively activated by designer drugs. ACS Chem Neurosci 2015, 6:476–484.
- Upright NA, Baxter MG: Effect of chemogenetic actuator drugs on prefrontal cortex-dependent working memory in nonhuman primates. Neuropsychopharmacology 2020, 45: 1793–1798.
- 67. Yan X, Telu S, Dick RM, Liow JS, Zanotti-Fregonara P, Morse CL, Manly LS, Gladding RL, Shrestha S, Lerchner W, Nagai Y, Minamimoto T, Zoghbi SS, Innis RB, Pike VW, Richmond BJ, Eldridge MA: [(11)C]deschloroclozapine is an improved PET radioligand for quantifying a human muscarinic DREADD expressed in monkey brain. J Cerebr Blood Flow Metabol 2021, 41:2571–2582. 271678X211007949.
- Krueger C, Pfleiderer K, Hillen W, Berens C: Tetracycline derivatives: alternative effectors for Tet transregulators. *Biotechniques* 2018, 37.
- Hooker JM, Munro TA, Beguin C, Alexoff D, Shea C, Xu Y, Cohen BM: Salvinorin A and derivatives: protection from metabolism does not prolong short-term, whole-brain residence. *Neuropharmacology* 2009, 57:386–391.
- Gantz SC, Ortiz MM, Belilos AJ, Moussawi K: Excitation of medium spiny neurons by 'inhibitory' ultrapotent chemogenetics via shifts in chloride reversal potential. *Elife* 2021:10.
- Goossens MG, Larsen LE, Vergaelen M, Wadman W, Van den Haute C, Brackx W, Proesmans S, Desloovere J, Christiaen E, Craey E, et al.: Level of hM4D(gi) DREADD expression

www.sciencedirect.com

Current Opinion in Pharmacology 2022, 64:102204

determines inhibitory and neurotoxic effects in the Hippocampus. eNeuro 2021, 8.

- Rogers S, Rozman PA, Valero M, Doyle WK, Buzsaki G: Mechanisms and plasticity of chemogenically induced interneuronal suppression of principal cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2021:118.
- 73. Samaranch L, San Sebastian W, Kells AP, Salegio EA, Heller G, Bringas JR, Pivirotto P, DeArmond S, Forsayeth J, Bankiewicz KS: AAV9-mediated expression of a non-self protein in nonhuman primate central nervous system triggers widespread neuroinflammation driven by antigenpresenting cell transduction. *Mol Ther* 2014, 22:329–337.
- Isler K, van Schaik CP: Metabolic costs of brain size evolution. Biol Lett 2006, 2:557–560.
- 75. Tran FH, Spears SL, Ahn KJ, Eisch AJ, Yun S: Does chronic systemic injection of the DREADD agonists clozapine-Noxide or Compound 21 change behavior relevant to locomotion, exploration, anxiety, and depression in male non-DREADD-expressing mice? Neurosci Lett 2020, 739:135432.
- 76. Urban DJ, Zhu H, Marcinkiewcz CA, Michaelides M, Oshibuchi H, Rhea D, Aryal DK, Farrell MS, Lowery-Gionta E, Olsen RH, et al.: Elucidation of the behavioral program and neuronal network encoded by dorsal raphe serotonergic neurons. Neuropsychopharmacology 2016, 41:1404–1415.
- 77. Guo W, Wan X, Ma L, Zhang J, Hashimoto K: Abnormalities in the composition of the gut microbiota in mice after repeated administration of DREADD ligands. *Brain Res Bull* 2021, 173: 66–73.
- Koga K, Shiraishi Y, Yamagata R, Tozaki-Saitoh H, Shiratori-Hayashi M, Tsuda M: Intrinsic braking role of descending locus coeruleus noradrenergic neurons in acute and chronic itch in mice. *Mol Brain* 2020, 13:144.
- Tremblay S, Acker L, Afraz A, Albaugh DL, Amita H, Andrei AR, Angelucci A, Aschner A, Balan PF, Basso MA, *et al.*: An open resource for non-human primate optogenetics. *Neuron* 2020, 108:1075–1090.

- Messinger A, Sirmpilatze N, Heuer K, Loh KK, Mars RB, Sein J, Xu T, Glen D, Jung B, Seidlitz J, et al.: A collaborative resource platform for non-human primate neuroimaging. *Neuroimage* 2021, 226:117519.
- Klein C, Evrard HC, Shapcott KA, Haverkamp S, Logothetis NK, Schmid MC: Cell-targeted optogenetics and electrical microstimulation reveal the primate koniocellular projection to supra-granular visual cortex. Neuron 2016, 90:143–151.
- 82. Galvan A, Caiola MJ, Albaugh DL: Advances in optogenetic and chemogenetic methods to study brain circuits in non-human primates. *J Neural Transm* 2018, **125**:547–563.
- Watakabe A, Ohtsuka M, Kinoshita M, Takaji M, Isa K, Mizukami H, Ozawa K, Isa T, Yamamori T: Comparative analyses of adeno-associated viral vector serotypes 1, 2, 5, 8 and 9 in marmoset, mouse and macaque cerebral cortex. Neurosci Res 2014, 8:98.
- Dimidschstein J, Chen Q, Tremblay R, Rogers SL, Saldi GA, Guo L, Xu Q, Liu R, Lu C, Chu J, *et al.*: A viral strategy for targeting and manipulating interneurons across vertebrate species. *Nat Neurosci* 2016, 19:1743–1749.
- El-Shamayleh Y, Horwitz GD: Primate optogenetics: progress and prognosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2019, 116: 26195–26203.
- Shinohara Y, Konno A, Takahashi N, Matsuzaki Y, Kishi S, Hirai H: Viral vector-based dissection of marmoset GFAP promoter in mouse and marmoset brains. *PLoS One* 2016, 11, e0162023.
- El-Shamayleh Y, Kojima Y, Soetedjo R, Horwitz GD: Selective optogenetic control of purkinje cells in monkey cerebellum. *Neuron* 2017, 95:51–62. e54.
- Martel AC, Elseedy H, Lavigne M, Scapula J, Ghestem A, Kremer EJ, Esclapez M, Apicella P: Targeted transgene expression in cholinergic interneurons in the monkey striatum using canine adenovirus serotype 2 vectors. Front Mol Neurosci 2020, 13:76.

Descargado para Lucia Angulo (lu.maru26@gmail.com) en National Library of Health and Social Security de ClinicalKey.es por Elsevier en junio 17, 2022. Para uso personal exclusivamente. No se permiten otros usos sin autorización. Copyright ©2022. Elsevier Inc. Todos los derechos reservados.