

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

The American Journal of Surgery

journal homepage: www.americanjournalofsurgery.com



Original Research Article

Loss of independence after emergency inguinal hernia repair in elderly patients: How aggressive should we be?



Japjot Bal ^a, Nicole Ilonzo ^b, Princess Spencer ^b, Misa Hyakutake ^b, I. Michael Leitman ^{a, c, *}

- ^a Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 1 Gustave L. Levy Pl, New York, NY, 10029, USA
- ^b The Mount Sinai Hospital, 1468 Madison Ave, New York, NY, 10029, USA
- ^c 10 Union Square East, Suite 2M, New York, NY, 10003, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 January 2021 Received in revised form 2 March 2021 Accepted 28 March 2021

Keywords: Inguinal Hernia Emergency Elective Elderly Surgery

ABSTRACT

Background: Loss of independence (LOI) assesses patient quality of life after surgery and is associated with increased readmission and death. This paper compares LOI among the elderly who received elective versus emergent inguinal hernia repair.

Methods: The American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS-NSQIP) Participant User Files from 2015 to 2017 were reviewed for inguinal hernia repairs in patients 70-years-old or older. Chi-square analysis, Student t-test, and backwards multivariate logistic analysis were performed appropriately.

Results: Patients undergoing elective open or laparoscopic repair were less likely to experience LOI (OR 0.061, CI 0.035–0.106) and (OR 0.052 CI 0.024–0.113), respectively, and they were less likely to experience mortality (OR 0.07, CI 0.026–0.185) and (OR 0.059, CI 0.015–0.229), respectively.

Conclusions: Significant debility occurs following emergency inguinal hernia repair in elderly patients. Elective surgery may be indicated more often in order to reduce emergencies and LOI in elderly patients.

© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Loss of independence (LOI) is an important measure of assessing the quality of life of patients after surgery. It is a metric described by Berian et al. which evaluates the ability of primarily elderly patients to function independently after surgery, without the need for admission to a nursing home or rehabilitation facility. This measure becomes especially important given the rapidly aging population and the parallel rise increase in the risk inguinal hernia with age.^{2,3} Consequently, inguinal hernia repair is one of the most commonly performed surgical procedures in the United States and can be performed with utilizing an open or laparoscopic repair technique.^{4–7} Although multiple studies have indicated that there are differences in recovery and post-operative outcomes, both procedures have relatively low complication rates. Therefore, if the hernia is asymptomatic and does not interfere with one's daily life, a number of studies have shown that watchful waiting is a safe option.^{8–11} Those who developed symptoms and had the surgery at

E-mail address: Michael.letiman@mssm.edu (I.M. Leitman).

a later time than those who initially had the repair, were at no greater risk of operative complications. 9,10

Current literature encourages watchful waiting in the elderly^{12–15}; however, the present study challenges the decisionmaking process to additionally factor in the decreased quality of life following emergency hernia repair. The current watchful waiting model is based primarily upon the risk of mortality and serious side effects, without factoring in the loss of independence that might occur. Therefore, watchful waiting is currently considered low risk, when in fact, it may ultimately result in worse outcomes for elderly patients. Emergency repair can lead to significant debility in patients with a significant decrease in loss of independence, which is associated with increased readmission and death after discharge. 1,16 Although there are many studies comparing the outcomes of emergent versus elective repair, no study has compared loss of independence in patients undergoing elective versus emergency inguinal hernia repair. The present study utilizes the NSOIP database to evaluate loss of independence among the elderly who either had an elective or emergent inguinal hernia repair.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2021.03.063 0002-9610/© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author. Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 1 Gustave L. Levy Pl, New York, NY, 10029, USA.

Methods

The American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program Participant User File (ACS-NSQIP PUF) database was used to identify patients who underwent primary inguinal hernia repair between 2015 and 2017. Current procedural terminology (CPT) codes for laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair (49650 and 49659) and open inguinal hernia repair (49505 and 49525) were used. International Classification of Disease, Ninth Revision codes (550.01, 550.03, 550.11, 550.13, 550.91, and 550.93) and Tenth Revision codes (K40.01, K40.11, and K40.21) were used to eliminate patients undergoing recurrent inguinal hernia repair. Clinically relevant preoperative comorbidities and postoperative events including complications and loss of independence after primary operation were noted and reviewed. Patients were identified as experiencing a loss of independence if they were admitted from home and discharged to a rehabilitation, skilled care (not home), unskilled facility (not home), or a separate acute care facility. Major complications were defined as an occurrence of one of the following events: superficial, deep and organ space infection, wound dehiscence, renal insufficiency, renal failure, prolonged ventilation, pulmonary embolism, deep vein thrombosis, cardiac arrest, stroke, myocardial infarction, urinary tract infection, pneumonia, sepsis, and septic shock. All clinical factors in the ACS-NSQIP database are defined in the user guide.

Patients 70 years and older were included. These patients were further grouped into those who underwent an elective inguinal hernia repair and those who had emergency inguinal hernia repair. Categorical variables were analyzed between the two groups by chi-square test where appropriate. Postoperative complications were evaluated. Backwards multivariate logistic analysis was used to determine mortality and loss of independence within the elderly population. The model included elective open hernia repair, elective laparoscopic hernia repair, gender, black race, Native American race, white race, Hispanic, Asian, Pacific Islander/Native Hawaiian, dyspnea, hypertension, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), ventilator dependence, ascites, congestive heart failure (CHF), renal failure, end-stage renal disease, cancer, open wound/wound infection, steroid use for a chronic condition, preoperative weight loss, bleeding disorder, and preoperative packed red blood cell transfusion and the c-statistics was 0.78 for both analyses.

Failure to rescue, which was first defined by Silber et al., in 1992, is defined as death in a patient after a complication from surgery. It measures a hospital's ability to prevent death after a surgical complication and is therefore used as a marker of surgical quality. Failure to rescue is calculated by dividing the number of 30-day deaths by the total number of patients with 30-day complications and multiplying that number by 100 to obtain a percentage. In this study, the complications selected were superficial wound infection, deep infection, organ space infection, wound dehiscence, pneumonia, prolonged intubation, pulmonary embolism, acute renal failure, urinary tract infection, stroke, cardiac arrest, myocardial infarction, bleeding requiring transfusion, deep vein thrombosis, sepsis, and septic shock. All statistical analyses were performed with SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

The American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program and the hospitals participating in the ACS NSQIP are the source of the data used herein; they have not verified and are not responsible for the statistical validity of the data analysis or the conclusions derived by the authors.

Results

21,602 patients aged 70 years and older who underwent an

emergent (797) or elective (20805) inguinal hernia repair were identified (Table 1). Higher percentages of males underwent elective surgery compared to emergency repair (87.6% vs 70.8%, P < 0.0001). Although more male patients underwent both types of hernia repair surgery (87.0% vs 13.0%), the percentage of female patients increases significantly for emergency repair compared to elective (29.2% vs 12.4%. P < 0.0001, Table 1).

In elderly patients, a significantly higher incidence of comorbidities was seen for emergent than elective cases, COPD (11.3% vs 6.2%, P < 0.0001), ventilated (0.3% vs 0.01%, P < 0.0001), ascites (0.6% vs p.1%, P = 0.0009), CHF (4.4% vs 1.0%, P < 0.0001), open wound/wound infection (1.4% vs 0.3%, P < 0.0001), a preoperative weight loss (1.8% vs 0.4%, P < 0.0001) and blood disorder (11.9% vs 4.3%, P < 0.0001, Table 2).

While the overall rates of complications were low in elderly patients, they were more common among emergent cases. These include superficial surgical site infection (0.6% vs 0.2%, P = 0.0178), pneumonia (2.1% vs 0.3%, P < 0.0001), intubation (0.9% vs 0.1%, P < 0.0001), pulmonary embolism (0.4% vs 0.1%, P < 0.0035), ventilation for greater than 48 h (0.9% vs 0.04%, P < 0.0001), acute renal failure (0.5% vs 0.03%, P < 0.0001), urinary tract infection (1.9% vs 0.7%, P = 0.0001), cardiac arrest (0.8% vs 0.1%, P < 0.0001), myocardial infarction (0.6% vs 0.2%, P = 0.0204), bleeding after transfusion (1.1% vs 0.2%, P<.0001), deep vein thrombosis (1.1% vs 0.1%, P < 0.0001), septic shock (0.3% vs 0.1%, P = 0.0171), long stay (0.3% vs 0.01%, P < 0.0001), reoperation-related (1.8% vs 0.6%, P < 0.0001) and readmission (5.4% vs 1.8%, P < 0.0001, Table 3).

For patients aged 70 years and older, a number of comorbidities were associated with an increasing likelihood of loss of independence: hypertension (OR 1.665, CI 0.928–2.986), dialysis (OR 3.334, CI 0.804–13.816), cancer (OR 4.692, CI 0.973–22.63), wound disruption (OR 6.657, CI 1.637–27.078), preoperative weight loss (OR 4.187, CI 0.974–17.989), bleeding disorder (OR 2.247, CI 1.131–4.464), and preoperative packed red blood cell transfusion transfusion (OR 5.418, CI 1.056–27.808). Patients who underwent an elective open or laparoscopic repair were less likely to experience loss of independence (OR 0.061, CI 0.035–0.106) and (OR 0.052, CI 0.024–0.113), respectively (Table 4) after multivariate analysis.

For patients aged 70 years and older, a number of comorbidities were also associated with an increasing likelihood of 30-day mortality: dyspnea (OR 5.522, CI 2.069–14.741), ascites (OR 13.646, CI 1.355–137.46), renal failure (OR 13.913, CI 1.384–139.83), and cancer (OR 15.642, CI 3.204–76.375). Patients who underwent an elective open or laparoscopic repair were less likely to experience mortality (OR 0.07, CI 0.026–0.185) and (OR 0.059, CI 0.015–0.229), respectively (Table 4).

Moreover, emergent cases were more likely to experience loss of independence (8.0% vs 0.5%, P < 0.0001), failure to rescue (1.3% vs 0.01%, P<.0001), mortality (1.4% vs 0.02%, P < 0.0001, Table 3). Finally, a number of demographic factors and comorbidities were associated with an increasing likelihood of undergoing emergency hernia repair: female gender (OR 0.34, CI 0.29–0.4), black race (OR 1.331, CI 1.012–1.75), Hispanic (OR 1.513, CI 1.12–2.044), COPD (OR 1.637, CI 1.287–2.084), CHF (OR 3.354, CI 2.273–4.951), open wound/wound infection (OR 4.45, CI 2.179–9.089), preoperative weight loss (OR 3.42, CI 1.85–6.323), and bleeding disorder (OR 2.836, CI 2.247–3.579).

Discussion

Given the rapidly aging population, it is projected that by the year 2035, people who are 65 years and older will outnumber those who are 18 years and younger.⁵ A study that examined the rates of emergent abdominal hernia repair between the years of 2001 and

Table 1Demographics for patients over the age of 70 undergoing laparoscopic and open inguinal hernia repair by emergency of procedure.

Demographics	$Total\ (n=21602)$	Emergent (n=797)	Elective ($n = 20805$)	P-value
BMI 35-39	355 (1.6%)	17 (2.1%)	338 (1.6%)	0.3
BMI 40-49	97 (0.5%)	6 (0.8%)	91 (0.4%)	0.2
BMI≥50	33 (0.2%)	1 (0.1%)	32 (0.2%)	0.8
Male	18785 (87.0%)	564 (70.8%)	18221 (87.6%)	<.0001
Female	2817 (13.0%)	233 (29.2%)	2584 (12.4%)	<.0001
Black	1234 (5.7%)	62 (7.8%)	1172 (5.6%)	0.01
Native American	56 (0.3%)	2 (0.3%)	54 (0.3%)	0.96
White	16661 (77.1%)	605 (75.9%)	16056 (77.2%)	0.4
Hispanic	904 (4.2%)	50 (6.3%)	854 (4.1%)	0.0027
Smoker	1670 (7.7%)	77 (9.7%)	1593 (7.7%)	0.04
Asian	629 (2.9%)	20 (2.5%)	609 (2.9%)	0.5
Pacific	39 (0.2%)	39 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0.2

 $BMI = body \ mass \ index \ in \ kg/m^2.$

Table 2Comorbidities of patients over the age of 70 undergoing laparoscopic and open inguinal hernia repair.

Comorbidities	$Total\ (n=21602)$	$Emergent \ (n=797)$	Elective ($n = 20805$)	P-value
Dyspnea	1355 (6.3%)	59 (7.4%)	1296 (6.2%)	0.2
HTN	13685 (63.4%)	500 (62.7%)	13185 (63.4%)	0.7
Diabetes	2840 (13.2%)	110 (13.8%)	2730 (13.1%)	0.6
COPD	1369 (6.3%)	90 (11.3%)	1279 (6.2%)	<.0001
Ventilated	5 (0.02%)	2 (0.3%)	3 (0.01%)	<.0001
Ascites	35 (0.2%)	5 (0.6%)	30 (0.1%)	0.0009
CHF	242 (1.1%)	35 (4.4%)	207 (1.0%)	<.0001
Dialysis	202 (0.9%)	11 (1.4%)	191 (0.9%)	0.2
Cancer	135 (0.6%)	11 (1.4%)	124 (0.6%)	0.0058
Open Wound (with or without infection)	53 (0.3%)	11 (1.4%)	42 (0.2%)	<.0001
Steroid	612 (2.8%)	31 (3.9%)	581 (2.8%)	0.1
Preoperative weight loss	87 (0.4%)	14 (1.8%)	73 (0.4%)	<.0001
Bleeding Disorder	996 (4.6%)	95 (11.9%)	901 (4.3%)	<.0001
Preop RBC	17 (0.1%)	11 (1.4%)	6 (0.03%)	<.0001

HTN = hypertension requiring medication, COPD = history of chronic obstructive Pulmonary disease, Ventilated = ventilator dependent, CHF = history of congestive heart failure in 30 days before surgery, Dialysis = currently requiring or on dialysis, Steroid = steroid use for chronic condition, Preoperative weight loss = >10% loss body weight in last 6 months, Preop RBC = Preop Transfusion of \geq 1 unit of whole/packed RBCs in 72 h prior to surgery.

Table 3Postoperative complications of patients over the age of 70 undergoing laparoscopic and open inguinal hernia repair.

Complication	$Total\ (n=21602)$	Emergent ($n = 797$)	Elective $(n = 20805)$	P-value
FTR	13 (0.1%)	10 (1.3%)	3 (0.01%)	<.0001
Mortality	16 (0.1%)	11 (1.4%)	5 (0.02%)	<.0001
LOI	170 (0.8%)	64 (8.03%)	106 (0.5%)	<.0001
Superficial wound infection	50 (0.2%)	5 (0.6%)	45 (0.2%)	0.02
Deep infection	11 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)	10 (0.1%)	0.3
Organ Space	8 (0.04%)	5 (0.02%)	3 (0.4%)	<.0001
Wound Disruption	8 (0.04%)	5 (0.02%)	3 (0.4%)	<.0001
Pneumonia	68 (0.3%)	17 (2.1%)	51 (0.3%)	<.0001
Intubation	27 (0.1%)	7 (0.9%)	20 (0.1%)	<.0001
Pulmonary embolism	18 (0.1%)	3 (0.4%)	15 (0.1%)	0.0035
Postoperative mechanical ventilation	15 (0.1%)	7 (0.9%)	8 (0.04%)	<.0001
Acute renal failure	11 (0.1%)	4 (0.5%)	7 (0.03%)	<.0001
Renal Insuf	29 (0.1%)	27 (0.1%)	2 (0.3%)	0.4
UTI	161 (0.8%)	15 (1.9%)	146 (0.7%)	0.0001
Stroke	16 (0.1%)	2 (0.3%)	14 (0.1%)	0.1
Cardiac Arrest requiring CPR	17 (0.1%)	6 (0.8%)	11 (0.1%)	<.0001
MI	51 (0.2%)	5 (0.6%)	46 (0.2%)	0.02
Bleeding after transfusion	40 (0.2%)	9 (1.1%)	31 (0.2%)	<.0001
DVT	31 (0.1%)	9 (1.1%)	22 (0.1%)	<.0001
Sepsis	45 (0.2%)	37 (0.2%)	8 (1.0%)	<.0001
Septic shock	12 (0.1%)	2 (0.3%)	10 (0.05%)	0.02
Still in Hospital > 30 Days	5 (0.02%)	2 (0.3%)	3 (0.01%)	<.0001
Unplanned Reoperation	129 (0.6%)	14 (1.8%)	115 (0.6%)	<.0001
Readmission	415 (1.9%)	43 (5.4%)	372 (1.8%)	<.0001

FTR = failure to rescue, LOI = loss of independence, Superinfect = Occurrences superficial incisional surgical site infection, Renal insuf = occurrences progressive renal insufficiency, UTI= Urinary Tract Infection, MI = Myocardial Infarction, Bleeding after transfusion = Intraoperative or postoperative (within the first 72 h) packed red blood cell transfusion, DVT = deep vein thrombosis/thrombophlebitis.

Table 4Logistic backward analysis for patients over the age of 70 undergoing laparoscopic and open inguinal hernia repair.

Loss of Independence				
Variables	Odds Ratio	95% Wald		
		Confidence Limits		
Elective Open	0.061	0.035	0.106	
Elective Laparoscopic	0.052	0.024	0.113	
HTN	1.665	0.928	2.986	
Dialysis	3.334	0.804	13.816	
Cancer	4.692	0.973	22.63	
Wound Disruption	6.657	1.637	27.078	
Preoperative Weight Loss	4.187	0.974	17.989	
Bleeding Disorder	2.247	1.131	4.464	
Preop Blood Transfusion	5.418	1.056	27.808	
Mortality				
Elective Open	0.07	0.026	0.185	
Elective Laparoscopic	0.059	0.015	0.229	
Dyspnea	5.522	2.069	14.741	
Ascites	13.646	1.355	137.46	
Renal Failure	13.913	1.384	139.83	
Cancer	15.642	3.204	76.375	

Preoperative Weight Loss = greater than 10% loss in body weight in last 6 months, Preop Blood Transfusion = preoperative transfusion of greater than or equal to 1 unit of packed red blood cells in 72 h prior to surgery.

2010, saw that the increasing rates were highest among the elderly.¹⁸ There have been concerns about performing elective hernia repair in the elderly population due to older age and their coexisting illnesses. These comorbidities were found to be associated with higher risk of complications: COPD, coronary artery disease, low preoperative serum albumin, diabetes and dementia.^{19–22} In general, prior publications have indicated that inguinal hernia repair is safe in the elderly population when performed in an elective setting.^{22–25} In fact, studies have concluded that there is an increased risk of mortality and severe complications when repair is performed emergently.^{20,22,26–28}

There has been previous support for watchful waiting of inguinal hernia in elderly patients about whether it is necessary to repair all inguinal hernias. The decision to repair an inguinal hernia depends primarily on gender and patient symptoms; a male patient with an asymptomatic inguinal hernia may be managed expectantly. This is supported by a low probability that a hernia complication will occur. The lifetime risk of a hernia strangulation occurring in a 72-year-old, for instance, has been calculated to be 1 in 22.73. ²⁹ A randomized control trial by Fitzgibbons also evaluated watchful waiting and has determined its acceptability in patients based upon complaints of hernia-related pain14.

The literature supports watchful waiting of low-risk inguinal hernias. 8–11 One of the deterrents to aggressive management of all inguinal hernias is the risk of postoperative complications, especially long-term chronic pain which is reported to be as high as 6–8%. Chronic pain is the most studied postoperative complication that provides insight into the quality of life of the patient after surgery. This pain can disrupt daily activities such as work, sleep, mood, walking and relationships with other people. 31

While complications after elective inguinal hernia occur, complications after emergency inguinal hernia repair are more severe and debilitating. This study shows increased length of stay, readmission, and failure to rescue after emergency surgery. This is consistent with a previous study by Wu et al. demonstrated worsening complications after emergency inguinal hernia repair in elderly patients. This study reveals an increased loss of independence in elderly patients who undergo emergency inguinal hernia repair versus elective repair. After emergency inguinal hernia repair, the loss of independence rates in elderly patients climbs significantly.

Given the significant debility that occurs after emergency

surgery in elderly patients, identifying patients at high risk for emergency hernia repair is important. In the present study, a greater proportion of women underwent emergency inguinal hernia repair than men. This is consistent with other reports and current guidelines discourage watchful waiting in females since these patients are at increased risk of emergency surgery. ^{32,33} Additionally, a greater proportion of patients with COPD, CHF, and bleeding disorders underwent emergency surgery in this study. Further assessment is required to delineate which of these medical comorbidities are truly prohibitive for elective surgery and which might simply require more optimization prior to repair.

There are limitations to studies utilizing large databases such as ACS NSQIP; these tend to be subject to sampling error as well as errors with CPT coding. The inability to identify preoperative factors such as patient frailty or even the extent of the disease (e.g. small bowel obstruction versus necrotic bowel) is also a limitation. Furthermore, this dataset does not allow the identification of postoperative clinical markers such as laboratory results or hemodynamic status. It is important to note that watchful waiting literature suggests that surgery is advised if inguinal hernias are symptomatic. However, one cannot assess if a patient is symptomatic prior to surgery, using the NSQIP database. Finally, the NSQIP database, which contains only surgical patients, cannot be used to evaluate patients that underwent watchful waiting. This would be a valuable control group.

Conclusions

There is significant debility that occurs following emergency inguinal hernia repair in elderly patients. This may suggest a more proactive approach in patients older than 70 years with elective inguinal hernia surgery in order to reduce emergencies and loss of independence.

References

- Berian JR, Mohanty S, Ko CY, et al. Association of loss of independence with readmission and death after discharge in older patients after surgical procedures. JAMA Surg. 2016;151, e161689.
- 2. Digestive Diseases Statistics for the United States. 2014.
- Goede BD, Timmermans L, Kempen BJ, et al. Risk factors for inguinal hernia in middle-aged and elderly men: results from the Rotterdam Study. Surgery. 2015:157:540–546.
- 4. Ruhl CE, Everhart JE. Risk factors for inguinal hernia among adults in the US

- population. Am J Epidemiol. 2007;165:1154-1161.
- 5. Fatima 1 A, Mohiuddin MR. Study of incidence of inguinal hernias and the risk factors associated with the inguinal hernias in the regional population of a South Indian City. IJCRR. 2014;6:9-13.
- 6. Akinci M, Ergül Z, Kulah B, et al. Risk factors related with unfavorable outcomes in groin hernia repairs. Hernia. 2010;14:489-493.
- 7. Hernia Inguinal, NIH NIDDK, 2019;1. https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-info rmation/digestive-diseases/inguinal-hernia. Accessed April 1, 2021.
- 8. Goede BD, Wijsmuller AR, Ramshorst GH, et al. Watchful waiting versus surgery of mildly symptomatic or asymptomatic inguinal hernia in men aged 50 Years and older. Ann Surg. 2018:267:42—49.
- 9. Fittzgibbons RJ, Giobbie-Hurder A, Gibbs JO. Watchful waiting vs repair of inguinal hernia in minimally symptomatic men: a randomized clinical trial. Am Med Assoc. 2006:295:285–292.
- 10. Hawn M. Does delaying repair of an asymptomatic hernia have a penalty? Year Bk Surg. 2009:275-276.
- 11. Ramanan B, Maloley BJ, Fitzgibbons RJ. Inguinal hernia: follow or repair? Adv Surg. 2014:48:1-11.
- 12. Kendall C, Murray S. Is watchful waiting a reasonable approach for men with minimally symptomatic inguinal hernia? CMAJ (Can Med Assoc J). 2006;174: 1263-1264
- 13. Chung L, Norrie J, O'Dwyer PJ. Long-term follow-up of patients with a painless inguinal hernia from a randomized clinical trial. Br J Surg. 2011;98:596-599.
- 14. Fitzgibbons RJ, Ramanan B, Arya S, et al. Long-term results of a randomized controlled trial of a nonoperative strategy (watchful waiting) for men with minimally symptomatic inguinal hernias. Ann Surg. 2013;258:508-515.
- 15. Gibbs JO, Giobbie-Hurder A, Edelman P, et al. Does delay of hernia repair in minimally symptomatic men burden the patient's family? J Am Coll Surg. 2007:205:409-412
- 16. Engelhardt KE, Reuter Q, Liu J, et al. Frailty screening and a frailty pathway decrease length of stay, loss of independence, and 30-day readmission rates in frail geriatric trauma and emergency general surgery patients. J Trauma Acute
- Care Surg. 2018;85:167–173.

 17. Silber J, Williams S, Krakauer H, et al. Hospital and patient characteristics associated with death after surgery: a study of adverse occurrence and failure

- to rescue. Med Care. 1992;30:615-629.
- 18. Beadles CA, Meagher AD, Charles AG. Trends in emergent hernia repair in the United States. JAMA Surg. 2015;150:194-200.
- 19. Dunne JR, Malone DL, Tracy J, Napolitano LM. Abdominal wall hernias: risk factors for infection and resource utilization. J Surg Res. 2003;111:78-84.
- 20. Malik AM, Khan A, Talpur KA, Laghari AA. Factors influencing morbidity and mortality in elderly population undergoing inguinal hernia surgery. J Pakistan Med Assoc. 2010;60:45-47.
- 21. Gunnarsson U, Degerman M, Davidsson A, et al. Is elective hernia repair worthwhile in old patients? *Eur J Surg.* 1999;165:326–332.
- 22. Wu JJ, Baldwin BC, Goldwater E, Counihan TC. Should we perform elective inguinal hernia repair in the elderly? *Hernia*. 2017;21:51–57.
- 23. Chlebny T. Zelga P. Pryt M. et al. Safe and uncomplicated inguinal hernia surgery in the elderly - message from anesthesiologists to general surgeons. Pol J Surg. 2017;89:5–10.
- 24. Garavello A. Manfroni S. Antonellis D. Inguinal hernia in the elderly. Indications, techniques, results. Minerva Chir. 2004;59:271-276.
- 25. Palumbo P, Amatucci C, Perotti B, et al. Outpatient repair for inguinal hernia in elderly patients: still a challenge? *Int J Surg.* 2014;12(Suppl 2):54–57. **26.** Cheek C. Inguinal hernia repair: incidence of elective and emergency surgery,
- readmission and mortality. Int J Epidemiol. 1997;26:459-461.
- 27. Isıl RG. Approach to inguinal hernia in high-risk geriatric patients: should it be elective or emergent? Turkish J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2016;23:122-127.
- 28. Ingraham AM, Cohen ME, Raval MV, et al. Comparison of hospital performance in emergency versus elective general surgery operations at 198 hospitals. J Am Coll Surg 2011:212:20-28
- 29. Schroeder AD, Tubre DJ, Fitzgibbons Jr RJ. Watchful waiting for inguinal hernia. Adv Surg. 2019;53:293-303.
- 30. Andresen K, Rosenberg J. Management of chronic pain after hernia repair. J Pain Res. 2018;11:675-681.
- 31. Courtney CA, Duffy K, Serpell MG, O'Dwyer PJ. Outcome of patients with severe chronic pain following repair of groin hernia. Br J Surg. 2002;89:1310-1314.
- 32. International guidelines for groin hernia management. Hernia. 2018;22:1-165.
- 33. Koch A, Edwards A, Haapaniemi S, et al. Prospective evaluation of 6895 groin hernia repairs in women. Br J Surg. 2005;92:1553-1558.