Youth Firearm Injury A Review for Pediatric Critical Care Clinicians



Elinore J. Kaufman, MD, MSHP^a, Therese S. Richmond, PhD, RN^{b,*}, Katelin Hoskins, PhD, MBE, CRNP^C

KEYWORDS

• Firearm injury • Public health • Injury prevention • Youth

KEY POINTS

- Firearms are now the leading cause of death for youth in the United States. The most common cause of youth firearm death is homicide. Boys account for 90% of deaths, and youth of color are affected disproportionately.
- The presence of firearms in the home increases youth risk of homicide, suicide, and unintentional injury, particularly when firearms are stored loaded or unlocked.
- Families are open to counseling on firearm storage from clinicians, and counseling can reduce risk of injury, particularly when combined with the distribution of secure storage devices.
- Trauma informed approaches, including dedicated support for recovering youth, can improve outcomes after firearm injury.

INTRODUCTION

Firearms are the leading cause of death among children and adolescents (youth) in the United States, ending more lives than cancer, congenital anomalies, or chronic respiratory disease.¹ The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has ushered in escalating risks for youth and families. Rising community gun violence has impacted youth disproportionately² and youth suicides have risen,³ particularly among Black youth.⁴ Moreover, 2.9% of US adults became firearm owners for the first time during the pandemic, exposing more than 5 million youth to the risks of firearms in the home.^{5–7} The escalation in firearm-related death for youth is particularly disturbing because of how preventable these deaths are.

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: terryr@nursing.upenn.edu

Crit Care Clin 39 (2023) 357–371 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccc.2022.09.010 0749-0704/23/© 2022 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

criticalcare.theclinics.com

Descargado para Lucia Angulo (lu.maru26@gmail.com) en National Library of Health and Social Security de ClinicalKey.es por Elsevier en abril 28, 2023. Para uso personal exclusivamente. No se permiten otros usos sin autorización. Copyright ©2023. Elsevier Inc. Todos los derechos reservados.

^a Division of Traumatology, Surgical Critical Care, and Emergency Surgery, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Penn Presbyterian Medical Center, MOB Suite 120, 51 North 39th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA; ^b University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing, Fagin Hall 330, 418 Curie Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA; ^c University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing, Fagin Hall 312, 418 Curie Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

Pediatric critical care clinicians are highly skilled at providing life-saving care to injured youth. While organized systems of trauma care and sophisticated critical care units are essential elements of treating the disease of firearm injury, they are not sufficient. Clinicians have an ethical obligation to address firearm violence as they would any other health problem.⁸ Therefore, we must move upstream to prevent firearm-related harm before it brings children to our intensive care units, and we must think beyond the unit to focus on healing the scars of youth, families, and communities.⁹

The reasons for the escalation of firearm injury and death among youth are complex and crosssocietal sectors from social policy to education to health care and beyond. The complexity of the issue is challenging but also opens up a wealth of potential points of intervention that can be designed, implemented, and evaluated. In this paper, we seek to equip pediatric critical care clinicians with necessary information about the epidemiology of firearm-related harm and related costs, risk and protective factors, the impact of firearm violence on youth, and public health approaches to prevent firearm-related harm to inform actions that pediatric critical care clinicians can take.

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF FIREARM INJURY AMONG YOUTH

Youth accounted for almost 12% of firearm deaths in 2020, with a death rate of 6.32 per 100,000 for youth below age 21.¹⁰ While suicide is the leading intent of firearm death in the general population, in youth, homicides account for the majority of deaths (**Fig. 1**). The proportion of youth homicides that are firearm-related increased significantly from 1980 to 2016.¹¹

The public health epidemic of firearm-related death is dominated by boys, with 4710 boys dying as compared with 704 girls in 2020. Firearm-related deaths are not evenly distributed across race/ethnicity (**Fig. 2**). Including all intents of injury, Black boys have the highest death rate per 100,000 (35.05) followed by American Indian/Alaska Native (18.58), Latino (7.54), White (6.13), and Asian/Pacific Islander boys (2.49).¹⁰ The pattern changes for firearm suicide, with American Indian/Native Alaskan boys dominating followed by White and Black boys. Among girls, Black girls bear a disproportionate burden of firearm homicide. This disparity extends to firearm-related deaths due to legal intervention (shootings carried out by law enforcement officers in the course of their duties). Between 2003 and 2018, Black and Hispanic adolescents between 12 and 17 years of age had higher risks of firearm-related deaths due to legal intervention than their non-Hispanic White peers (RR 6.01 and 2.78, respectively).¹²



Fig. 1. Firearm deaths according to the intention of injury 2020 firearm deaths. Firearm deaths for all ages n = 45,222. Youth firearm deaths (ages 0–20) n = 5414. *Data from* WISQARS. https://www.cdc.gov/injury/wisqars/index.htm.

Descargado para Lucia Angulo (lu.maru26@gmail.com) en National Library of Health and Social Security de ClinicalKey.es por Elsevier en abril 28, 2023. Para uso personal exclusivamente. No se permiten otros usos sin autorización. Copyright ©2023. Elsevier Inc. Todos los derechos reservados.



Fig. 2. Firearm Homicide and Suicide by Race and Ethnicity for Boys and Girls. ^aRates for American Indian/Alaska Native and Asian/Pacific Islander girls unstable and not reported.

There is no comprehensive data source for nonfatal firearm injuries. Limited data on emergency department (ED) visits and hospitalizations suggest that more than 4 times as many youth survive firearm injuries than die.¹³ This case fatality ratio is lower for youth than for adults, largely because youth incur more unintentional injuries, which rarely lead to death. More than 4 in 5 nonfatal firearm injuries are in adolescents, and more than 4 in 5 injured youth are boys. Assaults account for 71% of nonfatal firearm injuries in youth, but unintentional injuries are more common in rural areas.^{14,15} Racial disparities mirror those present in homicides, ^{15–17} and a disproportionate share of injuries occur in low income neighborhoods, ¹⁷ and in the South.¹⁸

RISK AND PROTECTIVE FACTORS

A public health approach to youth firearm injury prevention focuses on boosting protective factors and reducing risk factors at the individual, family, community, environmental, and societal levels. This understanding can aid the development, implementation, and scale-up of tailored interventions. A recent scoping review from the Firearm safety Among Children and Teens (FACTS) Consortium highlights that much of youth firearm violence literature has focused on individual-level risk factors (ie, beliefs, attitudes, behaviors).¹⁹ Substance use, truancy, low academic achievement, delinquency, and firearm carriage are all notable risk factors for interpersonal firearm violence victimization. Specific to firearm suicide, study results are inconsistent as to whether mental health diagnosis, treatment, and prior attempts affect youth's risk for firearm suicide.¹⁹ Peer-related factors also influence the risk of firearm injury for adolescents, who are more likely to carry a firearm if their peers do, or if they expect to encounter other youth who are carrying.^{19,20}

At the household level, a well-established body of literature highlights the risks associated with the presence of firearms in the home for youth firearm unintentional injury, homicide, and suicide.^{19–22} These risks are amplified when firearms are stored unlocked or loaded. Approximately 30 million children live in US households with firearms, with 4.6 million youth living in households with loaded and unlocked firearms.²³ Updated estimates suggest that adolescents' risk of dying by suicide is at least three times higher living in a home with a firearm versus without.^{5,24} Moreover, an examination of National Violent Death Reporting System data across five US states found that for 77% of youth who died by firearm suicide, the firearms used mostly came from

parents, but also grandparents, brothers, and parents' intimate partners.⁶ Though older children are at higher risk for injury, households with only older children are more likely to store at least one firearm loaded and unlocked compared with households with younger children.²⁵

Youth from communities with high levels of socioeconomic disadvantage are at the highest risk for firearm injuries.¹⁷ Black youth disproportionately live in historically racialized spaces with increased exposure to living conditions shaping violence and contributing to high rates of firearm homicide.²⁶ Multiple neighborhood-level factors fuel violence inequities, including limited economic opportunities, alcohol outlets, narcotic sales, and high concentrations of firearms.^{17,19,27} Recent findings indicate that higher county poverty concentration is associated with youth firearm-related deaths across all intents.²⁸ Youth living in rural counties are at higher risk for firearm-related suicides and unintentional deaths, but lower risk for homicide; differences may be attributable to lethal means access, social isolation, or limited access to mental health services.²⁸ The causal pathways by which poverty and structural racism lead to injury are complex, and interventions to disrupt this trajectory are sorely needed.²⁹

Surprisingly little is known about protective factors for youth firearm outcomes.¹⁹ Parental monitoring and involvement,³⁰ future orientation,³¹ higher levels of school attachment, exposure to school-based drug and violence prevention programs, and stricter state-level firearm policies are associated with decreased propensity to carry firearms in cross-sectional studies.²⁰

COSTS OF YOUTH FIREARM INJURY

As the incidence of firearm injury rises in youth, so do the economic costs to individuals, families, and the health care system. The Government Accountability Office estimates the total annual costs of acute care for firearm injuries in the US for all intents and age groups is approximately \$1 billion annually, with Medicaid accounting for approximately half of these costs.³²

Direct health care costs include acute care in the ED for most injuries, but care and accompanying costs vary widely by anatomic injury. Even simple fractures may require physical therapy, prescription drugs, or durable medical equipment such as crutches, scooters, or wheelchairs. Injuries to the organs of the chest and abdomen can require complex surgical management and prolonged inpatient hospitalizations, as well as subsequent rehabilitation. Injuries to the head or spinal cord can require prolonged recovery or result in permanent disability. The mental health impacts of firearm injury can lead to the need for mental and behavioral health care which may extend beyond the individual to victims of secondary trauma stemming from the firearm injury itself: family, friends, and community.³²

For 2016 to 2017, the average cost of an ED visit for firearm injury was \$1478 and the average cost of an inpatient hospitalization was \$34,791. Taken together, total acute care costs for youth were \$1,153,186,636. Readmissions are common after firearm injury, and affect 4.5% of youth,³³ with an average cost of \$8311.³⁴

There are limited data on long-term costs for youth who survive firearm injury. Costs are difficult to track, as individuals frequently change insurance carriers. It is difficult to link services to the initial injury, particularly when it comes to behavioral health.³² Song and colleagues found that in the year after a nonfatal firearm injury, medical spending increased more than 400% among survivors, and also increased 4.2% among family members of survivors, speaking to the wider burden of these injuries and care.³⁵ Pulcini and colleagues used data from Medicaid and the Children's Health Insurance Program to track health care spending for youth ages 0 to 17 who survived firearm injuries,

identifying an increase of \$9,084 in health care costs per patient in the year following injury. The greatest increases were seen in self-inflicted injuries.³⁶

Costs of youth firearm injury extend beyond direct medical care. Including legal costs, the price tag rises to \$270,399 for each death and \$52,585 for each nonfatal injury,³⁷ but little information exists on the impact of lost work for caregivers, or the economic consequences of missed school and disrupted health. The broader costs of firearm violence include not only the need to maintain emergency care systems to treat injured individuals and legal costs, but also lost value of housing and business in neighborhoods impacted by violence. For example, research from The Urban Institute estimated that for every 10 fewer incidents of gun fire, one new business could open, one fewer businesses would close, 20 more jobs could be created, and more than a million dollars of sales could accrue.³⁸

CONTEXTUAL IMPACT OF FIREARM INJURY AND EXPOSURE TO VIOLENCE ON YOUTH

Exposure to firearm violence has long-term effects for those who are injured, but also for their peers, families, and communities. Indeed, social network analysis reveals that almost everyone (99.85%) will know a firearm violence victim over the course of a life-time.³⁹ For youth of color living in communities marginalized by structural racism, these impacts are greatly magnified, making firearm violence both a cause and a consequence of racial disparities in health and well-being. Both direct and indirect exposures can have profound effects on health and well-being over the short- and long-term. Here we examine firearm-related harm on youth within the broader context of firearm violence in homes, communities, and schools.

There is ample evidence of adverse mental health impacts on youth who have been shot, including depression, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), anxiety, and substance use.⁴⁰ One in four youth who survive a firearm injury are diagnosed with a new mental health disorder within a year.⁴¹ It is essential that care provided to youth from the moment of the shooting to long-term follow-up embrace a holistic, trauma-informed approach, and to provide the support necessary for holistic recovery.

Youth report high levels of exposure to community violence and to firearm violence in particular. Exposures can extend from hearing about events in neighborhoods and directly witnessing an event in addition to being victimized. In one study, 95% of 10 to 16 year olds in Philadelphia reported hearing about, 87% witnessing, and 54% being directly victimized by violence in their communities.⁴²

"They was like, actually like shooting past me. One was standing down the street and the other one was standing up the street and they was actually like firing back and forth. Like it was fires shot back and forth. I was shocked. I had the trash in my hand 'cause I was putting it out, and I was just shocked. I couldn't move or nothing 'cause I couldn't believe that it was happening."

Closer proximity to a firearm violence incident and closer relationships to firearm violence victims increase the risk for posttraumatic stress.⁴³

High exposure to firearm violence is associated with high rates of future injury and firearm injury,⁴⁴ and victimization during adolescence is positively associated with the likelihood of owning a handgun in the future.⁴⁵ Third graders attending schools located within higher concentration areas of gunshots score lower in standardized state tests.⁴⁶ Exposure to violence in youth in 9th grade reduces future educational expectations and increases the likelihood of subsequently carrying a firearm.⁴⁷ Youth are aware of crime in their neighborhoods, and as crime rate increases perceptions of safety decrease.^{48,49} As a consequence youth develop a level of constant vigilance

and make moment by moment decisions about how to safely navigate their neighborhoods.⁵⁰ In some ways, this vigilance is protective, but it can also lead to healthharming biological stress and chronic health problems.^{51,52} Parents in low-resource neighborhoods where violence is common report keeping their children indoors and restricting movement through the environment.⁵³ This can be harmful to healthy growth and development, contribute to lack of exercise and obesity, result in missed school and work, and limit age-appropriate social connections.

Youth reside in family systems, thus firearm violence that affects a parent, sibling, or other close relatives affect youth. Consider, for example, when a youth gains access to an unsecured firearm and unintentionally wounds or kills a sibling or when intimate partner violence involves a firearm. Interpersonal firearm violence is disproportionately borne by young Black men, who are also subject to mass incarceration. Therefore, Black youth living in poverty are indirectly harmed as they often living in homes where fathers have been killed or incarcerated.⁵⁴ Black men are by far the most likely to be killed by police. In addition to this loss of life, fear of police violence drives adverse mental health, decreased care-seeking and institutional distrust in affected communities.^{55–58}

The burden of firearm-related harm in society drives the allocation of resources that can negatively affect youth. For example, the potential for violence in schools drives decisions to install metal detectors and hire school safety officers drawing resources away from books and extracurricular programs. Just as firearm violence is not evenly distributed, the schools affected are more often in under-resourced, minoritized neighborhoods.

SCHOOL SHOOTINGS AND OTHER PUBLIC MASS SHOOTINGS

There have been 2,069 school shootings in the US since 1970, killing 684 and injuring another 1937.⁵⁹ These deaths account for < 1% of youth firearm fatalities, but are nonetheless far too common, and carry enormous weight in the public consciousness. School shootings are preventable. Most shooters showed warning signs; improving community response systems has the potential to save lives.⁶⁰ Most attackers used weapons obtained in their own homes, indicating that secure storage can act to reduce these shootings as well.⁶⁰ Licensing laws, magazine limits, and waiting periods may also play a role in decreasing potential shooters' access to lethal weapons.

Beyond the loss of life, school shootings contribute to posttraumatic stress in survivors and peers,⁴⁰ generate fear in students, teachers, and parents, and decrease communities' sense of safety. These shootings have also inspired schools around the country to enact lockdown and active shooter drills. Unfortunately, these drills have been shown to increase stress, with no evidence that they improve safety.⁶¹ Likewise, increasing calls to arm staff in schools are likely to increase, rather than decrease, risk of harm.⁶²

PRIMARY PREVENTION OF YOUTH FIREARM INJURY

Prevention is a key priority for promoting the overall health of youth. While pediatric critical care clinicians and other healthcare providers specialize in secondary prevention—minimizing harm and reducing adverse consequences once an injury has occurred—the lethality of firearms makes primary prevention paramount. For example, firearms have a 90% case fatality rate for suicide,⁶³ and opportunities for secondary prevention and second chances are scarce.

Interventions to Promote Secure Firearm Storage

Reducing opportunities for youth to access firearms in their homes and the homes of others is a key aspect of prevention for youth suicide, unintentional injury, and assault.

Engaging caregivers to increase secure storage in households with youth can prevent injuries and save lives.⁶⁴ A recent modeling study found that up to 32% of youth firearm deaths could be avoided if 20% of households with at least one firearm unlocked moved to locking all firearms.⁶⁵ Uptake of storage recommendations has the potential to yield significant reductions in both unintentional injury and suicide.

Health care settings are highly relevant intervention points. Clinician counseling on firearm safety in pediatric settings is generally acceptable to parents,^{66,67} though questions remain about optimal approaches to motivating behavior change (and associated mechanisms) given the complex and socially situated reasons for both firearm ownership and storage.^{65,68–70} A nonjudgmental, patient-centered approach with explicit framing around the shared goal of youth safety may enhance the acceptability and subsequent effectiveness of these conversations.^{71–73} Moreover, counseling can also be tailored to specific injury risk factors, like developmental stages or mental health diagnoses.⁷¹

Despite recommendations from multiple leading organizations (eg, American Academy of Pediatrics) that clinicians discuss firearm safety in health care settings,⁷⁴ uptake of this guidance is low.^{67,75–77} For example, in one survey of pediatric residents, most respondents indicated never providing firearm-related counseling or only doing so in 1% to 5% of well-child visits, despite agreeing that physicians have a responsibility to counsel on firearm-related risks.⁷⁷ In a survey of 54 pediatric-focused advance practice nurses, 70.3% reported asking parents about firearms in the home; advanced practice nurses with higher level of education, pediatric certification, and firearm ownership were most likely to conduct screening and teaching.⁷⁸ Identified barriers to counseling include guidance on appropriate language, correct use of locking devices, technical aspects of firearms, and time during visits, highlighting the need for implementation strategies to increase routine delivery.^{75,76} Training resources are available through the AAP,⁷⁹ Zero Suicide Institute,⁸⁰ and the BulletPoints Project.⁸¹

Evaluations of the effectiveness of clinician counseling to reduce youth household firearm access have been limited by sample size, reliance on self-reported storage practices, and lack of measurement of injury outcomes.⁸² However, several studies indicate a positive effect of clinician counseling when accompanied by free locking device provision.^{68,83,84} The SAFETY study, a clustered ED-based, multisite trial, evaluated whether a brief counseling intervention reduced at-risk youths' access to lethal means.⁸⁵ Hospitals were provided with free handgun safes, cable locks, and medication lockboxes to offer families. Intervention adoption led to a twofold improvement in firearm storage after caregivers returned home from the ED; however, results for improved firearm safety did not persist.⁸⁵ One takeaway from this study was the importance of locking device features.⁸⁶ With a variety of commercially available options, further research should leverage principles of user-centered design to elicit firearm owners' preferences for locking devices to facilitate usage.^{86–89}

Interventions must also be developed and implemented outside of health care settings to expand their reach to firearm owners and individuals with less access to clinical care.⁸⁸ Community-based interventions to improve firearm safety include locking device giveaways, community education programs, and firearm buyback programs.⁹⁰ Study results generally suggest improved self-reported storage following device distribution regardless of setting, with mixed results on secure storage education programs.⁹⁰ Since the 1990s, voluntary buyback programs to reduce the prevalence of firearms in communities have been deployed more broadly across US cities.⁹¹ Though buybacks increase the number of firearms relinquished, support is mixed, with further evaluation needed for pediatric injury outcomes.^{90,91}

Environmental interventions to prevent youth firearm injury

Researchers have increasingly focused on structural changes in the built environment (eg, blight reduction through the improvement of dilapidated buildings and abandoned properties) in places whereby violence occurs.⁹² Residential segregation of racially and ethnically minoritized youth in settings of concentrated disadvantage necessitates multilevel interventions that target social and political determinants of firearm injury.^{17,69,93} Place-based interventions addressing poverty may confer protection and demonstrate promising impact.^{26,92,94} For example, a recent randomized trial demonstrated that both (1) greening and (2) mowing and trash cleanup interventions significantly reduced shootings, with no evidence of violence displacement to nearby areas.⁹⁵ Well-maintained vacant lots and the presence of parks are associated with a reduction in the odds of adolescent homicide, suggesting potential targets for future urban revitalization strategies.⁹⁶

The role of firearm policy in preventing youth firearm injury

Youth-focused, evidence-based firearm policy is another component of firearmrelated injury prevention at the population level. Child Access Prevention (CAP) laws aim to motivate secure firearm storage by imposing liability on adults who permit children to have unsupervised access to firearms. CAP law provisions vary between states, with different levels of criminal liability conferred for specific situations, such as negligent firearm storage or reckless provision of a firearm to a minor.⁹⁷ CAP laws are associated with reductions in unintentional firearm injury deaths and firearm suicides, though the magnitude of effects requires further examination.^{98,99} Moreover, more evidence is needed about the features of CAP laws and their implementation that enhance effectiveness.⁹⁷

Comprehensive background checks are a potential tool to reduce youth firearm carriage and illegal possession. Federal law mandates background checks to determine eligibility for firearm transfers from federally authorized dealers. However, the federal requirements do not extend to private firearm transfers or sales (eg, sales between individuals in person, online, or at gun shows), generating variability in firearm accessibility and availability across states.^{98,100} Thirteen states have laws that mandate background checks at the point of all sales and transfers.⁹⁷ One recent study found that adolescents in states that require universal background checks at the point of sale are less likely to carry firearms, suggesting that both state and federal laws may work together to reduce adolescent firearm carriage.¹⁰⁰ In addition, a 5-year analysis found that states with stricter gun laws, particularly universal background checks for firearm purchases, had lower rates of firearm-related deaths in children.¹⁰¹

SECONDARY AND TERTIARY PREVENTION

Secondary prevention focuses on the prevention of mental health and behavioral sequelae after youth are exposed to firearm injury, and tertiary prevention addresses risks of recurrent firearm injury. While the occurrence of firearm injury is associated with future firearm injury and high rates of posttraumatic stress symptoms, a scoping review found little empirical research on potential secondary prevention interventions to target long-term effects.⁴⁰ However, hospital-based violence intervention programs have shown promise for improving outcomes and reducing reinjury for youth survivors. These programs use trained lay people who serve as credible messengers, often with shared backgrounds and experience to the patients they treat. Staff provide psychosocial support and case management services to patients and families to help them recover and to help them navigate the health care and social services sector to meet

their needs. These programs can meet mental health needs¹⁰² and reduce recurrent violent injury, arrests, unemployment, and aggression while improving self-efficacy.^{103,104}

SUMMARY: WHAT CAN PEDIATRIC CRITICAL CARE CLINICIANS DO?

Pediatric critical care clinicians first and foremost are responsible to provide lifesaving and life-affirming care to injured youth and family. Trauma-informed care should be fully integrated within the plan of care. Research has shown that providers across disciplines have positive attitudes, but would benefit from education to enhance their knowledge and competence in delivering trauma-informed care in trauma settings.^{105,106} Training can be considered at the unit or institutional level using existing resources, such as those available on at the National Child Traumatic Stress Network.¹⁰⁷ Life-affirming care extends to planning for appropriate physical and psychological follow-up care postdischarge.

The disproportionate burden of firearm violence affects Black youth. Evidence indicates subtle negative descriptors of racialized comments are documented in electronic health records.¹⁰⁸ Clinicians would be well-served to self-examine the potential of stigma and judgments about the circumstances surrounding shooting events and their own implicit bias given its known association with quality of care.¹⁰⁹

Primary prevention of youth firearm injury is within the purview of all clinicians and communities. Pediatric critical care clinicians should move upstream to prevent the firearm injury from occurring in the first place. For example, discussion and counseling focused on universal risk reduction regarding firearms, as well as specific safety planning in the context of risk can occur anywhere in the health care system. It does not need to be the purview of general pediatricians or psychiatrists alone.⁸¹

In this politicized environment of pro-firearm and anti-firearm diatribes, pediatric critical care clinicians bring an important perspective to the conversation because they deal daily with the human anguish of youth who sustain a gunshot wound. It is important to always ask "what can I do?". Table 1 frames the mnemonic SPEAK

Table 1 Speak up: actions that critical care providers can take to reduce firearm-related harm		
S	Separate facts from opinions	Understand the incidence and consequences of youth firearm injury
P	Partner with others such as your health system, professional societies & community organizations	Collaborate with community organizations to facilitate community- based prevention and recovery for injured youth
E	Educate yourself, your peers, your community, and your leaders	Ex: risks of firearms in the home and the benefits of secure storage
A	Advocate using current evidence to achieve the goal to create safe communities	Support evidence-based policy such as CAP laws, and programming, such as storage device distribution
К	Know how you will evaluate all interventions and programmatic initiatives	Focus on injury-related outcomes
U	Unite on common ground and be inclusive of divergent views	Center youth safety and well-being
Р	Provide trauma informed care and services to help reintegrate youth into the community	Understand the impact of prior and current trauma on youth and families' reactions and interactions with the health care team

Descargado para Lucia Angulo (lu.maru26@gmail.com) en National Library of Health and Social Security de ClinicalKey.es por Elsevier en abril 28, 2023. Para uso personal exclusivamente. No se permiten otros usos sin autorización. Copyright ©2023. Elsevier Inc. Todos los derechos reservados.

UP to provide a sample of concrete strategies and Clinics Care Points that critical care clinicians can take to reduce firearm-related harm.

DISCLOSURE

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. Dr E.J. Kaufman is supported by AHRQ K12-HS026372. Dr K. Hoskins received funding from the National Institute of Mental Health Training Fellowship (T32 MH109433; Mandell/Beidas MPIs).

REFERENCES

- 1. Goldstick JE, Cunningham RM, Carter PM. Current causes of death in children and adolescents in the United States. N Engl J Med 2022;386(20):1955–6.
- Afif IN, Gobaud AN, Morrison CN, et al. The changing epidemiology of interpersonal firearm violence during the COVID-19 pandemic in Philadelphia, PA. Prev Med 2022;158:107020.
- Charpignon ML, Ontiveros J, Sundaresan S, et al. Evaluation of suicides among US adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic. JAMA Pediatr 2022;176(7): 724–6.
- California Department of Public Health. Suicide in California data trends in 2020, COVID impact, and prevention strategies. Available at: https://www. psnyouth.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Suicide-in-California-Data-Trendsin-2020-COVID-Impact-and-Prevention-Strategies-Slide-Deck.pdf. Accessed June 24, 2022.
- 5. Swanson SA, Eyllon M, Sheu YH, et al. Firearm access and adolescent suicide risk: toward a clearer understanding of effect size. Inj Prev 2020;2019:043605.
- Barber C, Azrael D, Miller M, et al. Who owned the gun in firearm suicides of men, women, and youth in five US states? Prev Med 2022;107066. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2022.107066.
- 7. Miller M, Zhang W, Azrael D. Firearm purchasing during the COVID-19 pandemic: results from the 2021 national firearms survey. Ann Intern Med 2021. https://doi.org/10.7326/M21-3423.
- 8. Shultz BN, Tolchin B, Kraschel KL. The "rules of the road": ethics, firearms, and the physician's "lane. J Law Med Ethics 2020;48(4_suppl):142–5.
- Hills-Evans K, Mitton J, Sacks CA. Stop posturing and start problem solving: a call for research to prevent gun violence. AMA J Ethics 2018;20(1). https://doi. org/10.1001/journalofethics.2018.20.1.pfor1-1801.
- U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Wide-ranging online data for epidemiological research. Available at: http://wonder.cdc.gov/. Accessed May 11, 2016.
- Manley NR, Huang DD, Lewis RH, et al. Caught in the crossfire: 37 Years of firearm violence afflicting America's youth. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2021; 90(4):623–30.
- Badolato GM, Boyle MD, McCarter R, et al. Racial and ethnic disparities in firearm-related pediatric deaths related to legal intervention. Pediatrics 2020; 146(6). e2020015917.
- 13. Fowler KA, Dahlberg LL, Haileyesus T, et al. Firearm injuries in the United States. Prev Med 2015;79:5–14.
- Kaufman EJ, Wiebe DJ, Xiong RA, et al. Epidemiologic trends in fatal and nonfatal firearm injuries in the US, 2009-2017. JAMA Intern Med 2021;181(2): 237–44.

- 15. Fowler KA, Dahlberg LL, Haileyesus T, et al. Childhood firearm injuries in the United States. Pediatrics 2017;140(1):e20163486.
- 16. Leventhal JM, Gaither JR, Sege R. Hospitalizations due to firearm injuries in children and adolescents. Pediatrics 2014;133(2):219–25.
- Carter PM, Cook LJ, Macy ML, et al. Individual and neighborhood characteristics of children seeking emergency department care for firearm injuries within the PECARN network. Acad Emerg Med 2017;24(7):803–13.
- Patel SJ, Goyal M, Badolato G, et al. Emergency department visits for pediatric firearm-related injury: by intent of injury. Pediatrics 2019; 144(2_MeetingAbstract):407.
- Schmidt CJ, Rupp L, Pizarro JM, et al. Risk and protective factors related to youth firearm violence: a scoping review and directions for future research. J Behav Med 2019;42(4):706–23.
- Oliphant SN, Mouch CA, Rowhani-Rahbar A, et al. A scoping review of patterns, motives, and risk and protective factors for adolescent firearm carriage. J Behav Med 2019;42(4):763–810.
- Dahlberg LL, Ikeda RM, Kresnow MJ. Guns in the home and risk of a violent death in the home: findings from a national study. Am J Epidemiol 2004; 160(10):929–36.
- 22. Miller M, Hemenway D, Azrael D. State-level homicide victimization rates in the US in relation to survey measures of household firearm ownership, 2001–2003. Soc Sci Med 2007;64(3):656–64.
- 23. Miller M, Azrael D. Firearm storage in US households with children: findings from the 2021 national firearm survey. JAMA Netw Open 2022;5(2):e2148823.
- 24. Brent DA, Perper JA, Moritz G, et al. Psychiatric risk factors for adolescent suicide: a case-control study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1993;32(3): 521–9.
- 25. Azrael D, Cohen J, Salhi C, et al. Firearm storage in gun-owning households with children: results of a 2015 national survey. J Urban Health 2018;95(3):295–304.
- 26. Bottiani JH, Camacho DA, Lindstrom Johnson S, et al. Annual Research Review: youth firearm violence disparities in the United States and implications for prevention. J Child Psychol Psychiatr 2021;62(5):563–79.
- 27. Hohl BC, Wiley S, Wiebe DJ, et al. Association of drug and alcohol use with adolescent firearm homicide at individual, family, and neighborhood levels. JAMA Intern Med 2017;177(3):317.
- 28. Barrett JT, Lee LK, Monuteaux MC, et al. Association of county-level poverty and inequities with firearm-related mortality in US youth. JAMA Pediatr 2022;176(2): e214822.
- 29. Ellyson AM, Rivara FP, Rowhani-Rahbar A. Poverty and firearm-related deaths among US youth. JAMA Pediatr 2022;176(2):e214819.
- **30.** Khetarpal SK, Szoko N, Culyba AJ, et al. Associations between parental monitoring and multiple types of youth violence victimization: a brief report. J Interpers Violence 2021;4. 8862605211035882.
- **31.** Khetarpal SK, Szoko N, Ragavan MI, et al. Future orientation as a cross-cutting protective factor Against multiple forms of violence. J Pediatr 2021;235:288–91.
- 32. Office USGA. Firearm injuries: health care service needs and costs. Available at: https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-21-515. Accessed July 17, 2021.
- **33.** Wheeler KK, Shi J, Xiang H, et al. Pediatric trauma patient unplanned 30 Day readmissions. J Pediatr Surg 2018;53(4):765–70.
- 34. Spitzer SA, Vail D, Tennakoon L, et al. Readmission risk and costs of firearm injuries in the United States, 2010-2015. PLoS One 2019;14(1):e0209896.

- 35. Song Z, Zubizarreta JR, Giuriato M, et al. Changes in health care spending, use, and clinical outcomes after nonfatal firearm injuries among survivors and family members. Ann Intern Med 2022. https://doi.org/10.7326/M21-2812.
- **36.** Pulcini CD, Goyal MK, Hall M, et al. Mental health utilization and expenditures for children pre–post firearm injury. Am J Prev Med 2021;61(1):133–5.
- The economic cost of gun violence. Everytown research & policy. Available at: https://everytownresearch.org/report/the-economic-cost-of-gun-violence/. Accessed December 17, 2021.
- Is gun violence stunting business growth? Urban Institute. Available at: https:// www.urban.org/features/gun-violence-stunting-business-growth. Accessed June 28, 2022.
- 39. Kalesan B, Weinberg J, Galea S. Gun violence in Americans' social network during their lifetime. Prev Med 2016;93:53–6.
- Ranney M, Karb R, Ehrlich P, et al. What are the long-term consequences of youth exposure to firearm injury, and how do we prevent them? A scoping review. J Behav Med 2019;42(4):724–40.
- 41. Oddo ER, Maldonado L, Hink AB, et al. Increase in mental health diagnoses among youth with nonfatal firearm injuries. Acad Pediatr 2021;21(7):1203–8.
- McDonald CC, Deatrick JA, Kassam-Adams N, et al. Community violence exposure and positive youth development in urban youth. J Community Health 2011; 36(6):925–32.
- Montgomerie JZ, Lawrence AE, LaMotte AD, et al. The link between posttraumatic stress disorder and firearm violence: a review. Aggression Violent Behav 2015;21:39–44.
- Tracy M, Braga AA, Papachristos AV. The transmission of gun and other weapon-involved violence within social networks. Epidemiol Rev 2016;38(1): 70–86.
- **45.** Gresham M, Demuth S. Who owns a handgun? An analysis of the correlates of handgun ownership in young adulthood. Crime Deling 2020;66(4):541–71.
- Bergen-Cico D, Lane SD, Keefe RH, et al. Community gun violence as a social determinant of elementary school achievement. Soc Work Public Health 2018; 33(7–8):439–48.
- Lee DB, Hsieh HF, Stoddard SA, et al. Longitudinal pathway from violence exposure to firearm carriage among adolescents: the role of future expectation. J Adolesc 2020;81:101–13.
- McCray TM, Mora S. Analyzing the activity spaces of low-income teenagers: how do they perceive the spaces where activities are carried out? J Urban Aff 2011;33(5):511–28.
- **49.** Wiebe DJ, Guo W, Allison PD, et al. Fears of violence during morning travel to school. J Adolesc Health 2013;53(1):54–61.
- 50. Teitelman A, McDonald CC, Wiebe DJ, et al. Youth's strategies for staying safe and coping. J Community Psychol 2010;38(7):874–85.
- **51.** Theall KP, Shirtcliff EA, Dismukes AR, et al. Association between neighborhood violence and biological stress in children. JAMA Pediatr 2017;171(1):53–60.
- Kapur G, Stenson AF, Chiodo LM, et al. Childhood violence exposure predicts high blood pressure in black American young adults. J Pediatr 2022; S0022-3476(22):00491–507. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2022.05.039.
- Jacoby SF, Tach L, Guerra T, et al. The health status and well-being of lowresource, housing-unstable, single-parent families living in violent neighbourhoods in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Health Soc Care Community 2017;25(2): 578–89.

- Race, poverty, and U.S. Children's exposure to neighborhood incarceration alexander F. Roehrkasse. 2021. Available at: https://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/full/10.1177/23780231211067871. Accessed June 21, 2022.
- 55. Sewell AA. The illness associations of police violence: differential relationships by ethnoracial composition. Sociological Forum 2017;32(S1):975–97.
- 56. Kerrison EM, Sewell AA. Negative illness feedbacks: high-frisk policing reduces civilian reliance on ED services. Health Serv Res 2020;55(S2):787–96.
- 57. Lett E, Asabor EN, Corbin T, et al. Racial inequity in fatal US police shootings, 2015–2020. J Epidemiol Community Health 2020;2020:215097.
- 58. Bor J, Venkataramani AS, Williams DR, et al. Police killings and their spillover effects on the mental health of black Americans: a population-based, quasi-experimental study. Lancet 2018;392(10144):302–10.
- 59. Data map. K-12 school shooting database. Available at: https://www.chds.us/ ssdb/data-map/. Accessed June 25, 2022.
- 60. Alathari L, Drysdale D, Driscoll S, et al. PROTECTING AMERICA'S SCHOOLS A U.S. SECRET SERVICE ANALYSIS OF TARGETED SCHOOL VIOLENCE. Available at: https://www.secretservice.gov/sites/default/files/2020-04/Protecting_Americas_Schools.pdf.
- **61.** Schonfeld DJ, Melzer-Lange M, Hashikawa AN, et al. Participation of children and adolescents in live crisis drills and exercises. Pediatrics 2020;146(3). e2020015503.
- 62. Arming teachers introduces new risks into schools. Everytown research & policy. Available at: https://everytownresearch.org/report/arming-teachers-introduces-new-risks-into-schools/. Accessed June 25, 2022.
- Conner A, Azrael D, Miller M. Suicide case-fatality rates in the United States, 2007 to 2014: a nationwide population-based study. Ann Intern Med 2019; 171(2):885–95.
- 64. Mann JJ, Michel CA, Auerbach RP. Improving suicide prevention through evidence-based strategies: a systematic review. AJP 2021;178(7):611–24.
- 65. Monuteaux MC, Azrael D, Miller M. Association of increased safe household firearm storage with firearm suicide and unintentional death among US youths. JAMA Pediatr 2019;173(7):657–62.
- 66. Knoepke C, Allen A, Ranney M, et al. Loaded questions: internet commenters' opinions on physician-patient firearm safety conversations. WestJEM 2017; 18(5):903–12.
- Garbutt JM, Bobenhouse N, Dodd S, et al. What are parents willing to discuss with their pediatrician about firearm safety? A parental survey. J Pediatr 2016; 179:166–71.
- **68.** Rowhani-Rahbar A, Simonetti JA, Rivara FP. Effectiveness of interventions to promote safe firearm storage. Epidemiol Rev 2016;38(1):111–24.
- **69.** Metzl JM. What guns mean: the symbolic lives of firearms. Palgrave Commun 2019;5(1):35.
- **70.** Betz ME, Anestis MD. Firearms, pesticides, and suicide: a look back for a way forward. Prev Med 2020;138:106144.
- 71. Haasz M, Boggs JM, Beidas RS, et al. Firearms, physicians, families, and kids: finding words that work. J Pediatr 2022;247:133–7.
- 72. Hoskins K, Johnson C, Davis M, et al. A mixed methods evaluation of parents' perspectives on the acceptability of the S.A.F.E. Firearm program. J Appl Res Child Informing Policy Child Risk 2022;12(2). Available at: https://digitalcommons.library.tmc.edu/childrenatrisk/vol12/iss2/2.

- **73.** Fuzzell LN, Dodd S, Hu S, et al. An informed approach to the development of primary care pediatric firearm safety messages. BMC Pediatr 2022;22(1):26.
- Council on injury, violence, and poison prevention executive committee. Firearm-related injuries affecting the pediatric population. Pediatrics 2012; 130(5):e1416–23.
- Roszko PJD, Ameli J, Carter PM, et al. Clinician attitudes, screening practices, and interventions to reduce firearm-related injury. Epidemiol Rev 2016;38(1): 87–110.
- Ketabchi B, Gittelman MA, Southworth H, et al. Attitudes and perceived barriers to firearm safety anticipatory guidance by pediatricians: a statewide perspective. Inj Epidemiol 2021;8(S1):21.
- 77. Hoops K, Crifasi C. Pediatric resident firearm-related anticipatory guidance: why are we still not talking about guns? Prev Med 2019;124:29–32.
- Cho AN, Dowdell EB. Unintentional gun violence in the home: a survey of pediatric advanced practice nurses' preventive measures. J Pediatr Health Care 2020;34(1):23–9.
- 79. Safer: storing firearms prevents harm AAP. Available at: https://shop.aap.org/ safer-storing-firearms-prevents-harm/. Accessed June 23, 2022.
- Zero suicide. Available at: https://zerosuicidetraining.edc.org/. Accessed June 23, 2022.
- 81. The BulletPoints Project. Available at: https://www.bulletpointsproject.org/. Accessed June 23, 2022.
- Conner A, Azrael D, Miller M. Access to firearms and youth suicide in the US: implications for clinical interventions. In: Lee LK, Fleegler EW, editors. Pediatric firearm injuries and fatalities : the clinician's guide to policies and approaches to firearm harm prevention. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2021. p. 13–29.
- **83.** Barkin SL, Finch SA, Ip EH, et al. Is office-based counseling about media use, timeouts, and firearm storage effective? Results from a cluster-randomized, controlled trial. Pediatrics 2008;122(1):e15–25.
- 84. Carbone PS, Clemens CJ, Ball TM. Article. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2005; 159(11):1049.
- 85. Miller M, Salhi C, Barber C, et al. Changes in firearm and medication storage practices in homes of youths at risk for suicide: results of the SAFETY study, a clustered, emergency department-based, multisite, stepped-wedge trial. Ann Emerg Med 2020;76(2):194–205.
- Barber C, Azrael D, Berrigan J, et al. Selection and use of firearm and medication locking devices in a lethal means counseling intervention. Crisis 2022. https://doi.org/10.1027/0227-5910/a000855.
- 87. Beidas RS, Rivara F, Rowhani-Rahbar A. Safe firearm storage: a call for research informed by firearm stakeholders. Pediatrics 2020;146(5):e20200716.
- Simonetti J, Simeona C, Gallagher C, et al. Preferences for firearm locking devices and device features among participants in a firearm safety event. West-JEM 2019;20(4):552–6.
- Lyon AR, Bruns EJ. User-centered redesign of evidence-based psychosocial interventions to enhance implementation—hospitable soil or better seeds? JAMA Psychiatry 2019;76(1):3–4.
- **90.** For the FACTS Consortium, Ngo QM, Sigel E, et al. State of the science: a scoping review of primary prevention of firearm injuries among children and adolescents. J Behav Med 2019;42(4):811–29.

- **91.** Hazeltine MD, Green J, Cleary MA, et al. A review of gun buybacks. Curr Trauma Rep 2019;5(4):174–7.
- 92. Kondo MC, Andreyeva E, South EC, et al. Neighborhood interventions to reduce violence. Annu Rev Public Health 2018;39(1):253–71.
- Branas CC, Reeping PM, Rudolph KE. Beyond gun laws—innovative interventions to reduce gun violence in the United States. JAMA Psychiatry 2021; 78(3):243–4.
- Dong B, Branas CC, Richmond TS, et al. Youth's daily activities and situational triggers of gunshot assault in urban environments. J Adolesc Health 2017;61(6): 779–85.
- 95. Moyer R, MacDonald JM, Ridgeway G, et al. Effect of remediating blighted vacant land on shootings: a citywide cluster randomized trial. Am J Public Health 2019;109(1):140–4.
- **96.** Culyba AJ, Jacoby SF, Richmond TS, et al. Modifiable neighborhood features associated with adolescent homicide. JAMA Pediatr 2016;170(5):473–80.
- 97. Capozzi Lindsay. Preventing unintentional firearm injury & death among youth: examining the evidence. Available at: https://policylab.chop.edu/evidenceaction-briefs/preventing-unintentional-firearm-injury-death-among-youth-examiningevidence. Accessed June 23, 2022.
- **98.** For the FACTS Consortium, Zeoli AM, Goldstick J, et al. The association of firearm laws with firearm outcomes among children and adolescents: a scoping review. J Behav Med 2019;42(4):741–62.
- **99.** Miller M, Zhang W, Rowhani-Rahbar A, et al. Child access prevention laws and firearm storage: results from a national survey. Am J Prev Med 2022;62(3): 333–40.
- 100. Timsina LR, Qiao N, Mongalo AC, et al. National instant criminal background check and youth gun carrying. Pediatrics 2020;145(1):e20191071.
- 101. Goyal MK, Badolato GM, Patel SJ, et al. State gun laws and pediatric firearmrelated mortality. Pediatrics 2019;144(2):e20183283.
- 102. Juillard C, Smith R, Anaya N, et al. Saving lives and saving money: hospitalbased violence intervention is cost-effective. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2015; 78(2):252–8.
- 103. Cooper C, Eslinger DM, Stolley PD. Hospital-based violence intervention programs work. J Trauma Inj Infect Crit Care 2006;61(3):534–40.
- 104. Cheng TL, Haynie D, Brenner R, et al. Effectiveness of a mentor-implemented, violence prevention intervention for assault-injured youths presenting to the emergency department: results of a randomized trial. Pediatrics 2008;122(5):938–46.
- 105. Kassam-Adams N, Rzucidlo S, Campbell M, et al. Nurses' views and current practice of trauma-informed pediatric nursing care. J Pediatr Nurs 2015;30(3): 478–84.
- 106. Bruce MM, Kassam-Adams N, Rogers M, et al. Trauma Providers' knowledge, views, and practice of trauma-informed care. J Trauma Nurs 2018;25(2):131–8.
- 107. The National Child Traumatic Stress Network. The national child traumatic stress network. https://www.nctsn.org/. Accessed June 28, 2022.
- 108. Sun M, Oliwa T, Peek ME, et al. Negative patient descriptors: documenting racial bias in the electronic health record. Health Aff 2022;41(2):203–11.
- 109. FitzGerald C, Hurst S. Implicit bias in healthcare professionals: a systematic review. BMC Med Ethics 2017;18(1):19.